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Prehistoric Britain proved another popular topic for The Archaeologist, especially with Scottish colleagues, who have been forthcoming on a range of significant projects. These include a programme of C14 dating that proved that wooden items in the National Museum of Scotland are some of the earliest equipment in Europe, World Heritage Status for spectacular sites on Orkney, and Shetland’s vibrant research activity. In England in particular we see some of the fruits of developer-funded archaeology. Richard Bradley sets out a few of the provisional results of his survey of grey literature and how this is changing interpretation of some monument types, compilation of data on lowly field systems reaps unexpected dividends, and routine evaluations in the Midlands come up with more than expected in Northamptonshire and Warwickshire. For English Heritage, new Prehistory tsar Jonathan Last describes how prehistoric archaeology will be promoted, and Matthew Ritchie is able to report on Cadw’s successful survey of prehistoric funerary and ritual sites.

Life within IFA has already been busy this year, and this Tri brings you news of the recent action on the disciplinary side, our new Diggers’ Forum Group, a proposed bursary scheme for workplace trainees, new ways to support and promote Registered Archaeological Organisations, and an introduction to the e-newsletter we now offer IFA members in conjunction with the Society of Antiquaries of London. Our Annual Conference in Winchester was the best attended that we have known for many years, and had the added glamour of a ministerial visit in addition to many excellent archaeological presentations. Apart from not being able to use the new conference facilities we expected, one downside of otherwise fine Winchester hospitality was short opening hours in the bar. Next year we are planning on holding the conference at Edinburgh, and Scottish colleagues assure us that such a disaster would never happen there.

Martin Biddle delivered a suitably thought-provoking opening address, sparking debate, and on the second day proceedings were opened by a speech from Tessa Jowell, Minister for Culture, Media and Sport. This latter event represented a significant ‘coming-of-age’ for the Institute and the sector, demonstrating the incremental and progressive steps that have been taken to move the historic environment and archaeology up the political agenda. The Minister’s general message was positive and presaged the release of her essay on the historic and built environment, Better Places to Live.

It is fashionable to be cynical regarding the political response to the archaeological sector, however, if this stance is too stringently upheld, we lose our capacity for a genuine dialogue with government that can create the better future for both archaeology and archaeologists.

At the time of writing the outcome of the election is unknown, but the central message is clear – incisive, constructive and persistent engagement by IFA with government is critical to the future of both archaeology and archaeologists.

This year’s annual conference at University College Winchester was an excellent showcase for the diversity, strength and vitality of IFA and its membership. The conference theme was appropriately focused on working in historic towns, although as you might anticipate, sessions also covered a wide range of other subjects.

Martin Biddle delivered a suitably thought-provoking opening address, sparking debate, and on the second day proceedings were opened by a speech from Tessa Jowell, Minister for Culture, Media and Sport. This latter event represented a significant ‘coming-of-age’ for the Institute and the sector, demonstrating the incremental and progressive steps that have been taken to move the historic environment and archaeology up the political agenda. The Minister’s general message was positive and presaged the release of her essay on the historic and built environment, Better Places to Live.

It is fashionable to be cynical regarding the political response to the archaeological sector, however, if this stance is too stringently upheld, we lose our capacity for a genuine dialogue with government that can create the better future for both archaeology and archaeologists.

At the time of writing the outcome of the election is unknown, but the central message is clear – incisive, constructive and persistent engagement by IFA with government is critical to the future of both archaeology and archaeologists.

As ever, our vision is cast over a wide horizon – you would expect nothing less given the current state of the profession. Therefore progress seems slow, with our goals constantly distant mirages; but this is unduly negative, and with enthusiasm to slake our thirst we will gradually refine image into reality. So let’s stick at it!

David Jennings
Chair, IFA
d.jennings@oxfordarch.co.uk

From the Chair

David Jennings

We continue to reappraise and amend our procedures: changes are being drawn up to increase the effectiveness of our disciplinary procedure following last year’s amendments to the RAO complaints process. In the meantime we continue to regulate standards and investigate lack of compliance both individually and corporately.

The Diggers’ Forum is now up and running and needs further active support to assist with our initiatives in relation to pay and conditions, so please get involved. Finally, we remain in constructive dialogue regarding implementation of the Valletta Convention and development of an accreditation scheme for professional practice.
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Milling around at Winchester (the building in polythene should have been a new conference facility.)

Tessa Jowell, Peter Hinton and Paul Light (Principal, University College, Winchester) at the Winchester conference

Photograph: Nick Davis

This year’s annual conference at University College Winchester was an excellent show case for the diversity, strength and vitality of IFA and its membership. The conference theme was appropriately focused on working in historic towns, although as you might anticipate, sessions also covered a wide range of other subjects.

Martin Biddle delivered a suitably thought-provoking opening address, sparking debate, and on the second day proceedings opened by a speech from Tessa Jowell, Minister for Culture, Media and Sport. This latter event represented a significant ‘coming-of-age’ for the Institute and the sector, demonstrating the incremental and progressive steps that have been taken to move the historic environment and archaeology up the political agenda. The Minister’s general message was positive and presaged the release of her essay on the historic and built environment, Better Places to Live.

It is fashionable to be cynical regarding the political response to the archaeological sector, however, if this stance is too stringently upheld, we lose our capacity for a genuine dialogue with government that can create the better future for both archaeology and archaeologists.

At the time of writing the outcome of the election is unknown, but the central message is clear – incisive, constructive and persistent engagement by IFA with government is critical to the future of our past.

Wherever possible this lobbying needs to be undertaken in conjunction with other heritage bodies; as Tim Howard says (p6), we need to take a leaf out of the book of other professions and speak with a common voice.

Our agenda remain consistent with previous statements of our ten-year plan. However, it is worth re-stating some key issues occupying Council’s thoughts. As many will be aware from subscription renewal literature, attracting new membership is critical to our plans, so again I would ask everyone to assist with recruitment.

We continue to reappraise and amend our procedures: changes are being drawn up to increase the effectiveness of our disciplinary procedure following last year’s amendments to the RAO complaints process. In the meantime we continue to regulate standards and investigate lack of compliance both individually and corporately.

The Diggers’ Forum is now up and running and needs further active support to assist with our initiatives in relation to pay and conditions, so please get involved. Finally, we remain in constructive dialogue regarding implementation of the Valletta Convention and development of an accreditation scheme for professional practice.
FROM THE FINDS TRAY

IFA Finds Group
The summer meeting, on ‘Buildings Archaeology’, held jointly with the Buildings Archaeology Group, will be on Thursday 9 June 2005 at Mortimer Wheeler House, LAARC, London. It will be followed, probably in October, with a practical session in York or Leicester. If you have ideas for future meetings (sessions on glass and residues have been suggested) please let us know. As usual the AGM will be slotted in before lunch. Again, the committee will be looking for new members so if you are interested, contact the Hon Secretary. We will be looking for a new Treasurer and Membership Secretary.

Tim Francis, IFA Hon Legal Advisor
Tim Francis has now moved to Gaston Whybrew Solicitors, Westwood Park, London Road, Great Horsey, Colchester, CO6 4BS... matters) by phone or personal visit. If further legal action is required discounted rates (10%) will apply.

Photograph credits
Your Editor allowed the previous Yearbook cover illustrations to be reproduced on p 11 of the 2005 Yearbook and directory without further acknowledgement, whereas I should have thanked Wessex Archaeology, Archaeoptics Ltd and Hampshire County Museum Service for their excellent images.

Listed is changing
On 1 April DCMS handed administration of listing and scheduling to English Heritage, and some new procedures came into operation. There will now be more consultation with owners before their property is listed, and they will receive more information about its historical importance. Work is in progress towards a unified register of scheduled ancient monuments, listed buildings and historic parks, gardens and battlefields. Free leaflets about proposed changes are available from customers@english-heritage.org.uk, or can be downloaded from www.english-heritage.org.uk.

Arnos Vale cemetery, Bristol – part of the new list © English Heritage

Iron Age find from Devon
The Portable Antiquities Scheme has recorded this important find dated to the La Tène period. Shaped like a mushroom, it is a cast copper alloy decorative stud, with ‘trumpet voids’ decorating the top surface. Some have remains of red enamel still in place. It will go on display in the Royal Albert Memorial Museum as part of the ‘Objects of Desire’ exhibition, which will highlight archaeological objects, including treasure, recently acquired by the museum. JD Hill, British Museum, thinks we should look to the Continent for a parallel for this lovely artefact; so more research will be carried out.

Nicol Powell
Finds Liaison Officer, Devon

Arms Vale cemetery, Bristol – part of the new list © English Heritage

Yearbook 2005 errata
Tim Francis, IFA Hon Legal Advisor
Tim Francis has now moved to Gaston Whybrew Solicitors, Westwood Park, London Road, Great Horsey, Colchester, CO6 4BS tel 01206 274153. He is still available to offer free legal advice to IFA members (up to 30 minutes, on archaeological or non-archaeological matters) by phone or personal visit. If further legal action is required discounted rates (10%) will apply.

Library of unpublished fieldwork reports
It is widely recognised that archaeological research is hampered by difficult access to fieldwork reports. ‘Grey literature’ has limited circulation and is often invisible to the research community. This new electronic library delivers a growing number of such reports to researchers. It brings together unpublished reports supplied to the ADS from larger fieldwork archives which may contain additional data, for example the Channel Tunnel Rail Link archive, with others submitted through OASIS. Reports so far available were contributed by AOC Archaeology, Canterbury Archaeological Trust, Geophysical Surveys of Bradford (GBS), Hereford and Worcester County Council, MoLAS, Oxford Archaeology, Suffolk County Council Archaeological Services, ULAS and Wessex Archaeology.

Contact Catherine Hardman
(ckh@york.ac.uk) For OASIS contact Mark Barratt, (oasis@english-heritage.org.uk)
http://ads.abeds.ac.uk/catalogue/library/greylit/

Library of unpublished fieldwork reports

Library of unpublished fieldwork reports

Library of unpublished fieldwork reports

Arms Vale cemetery, Bristol – part of the new list © English Heritage
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Your Editor allowed the previous Yearbook cover illustrations to be reproduced on p 11 of the 2005 Yearbook and directory without further acknowledgement, whereas I should have thanked Wessex Archaeology, Archaeoptics Ltd and Hampshire County Museum Service for their excellent images.

Arms Vale cemetery, Bristol – part of the new list © English Heritage

Hague Convention news
A briefing on UK progress towards ratification of the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict and its two protocols was held at DCMS in March, organised by the British Red Cross in association with DCMS and FCO. Lord McNichol re-affirmed the Government’s intention to ratify these important treaties, as announced last May, but there would need to be primary legislation. This delay is unfortunate, as UNESCO has organised a first meeting of ratified partners in October and the fact that Britain is not yet a party may affect its ability to influence decisions on institutional matters. However, Britain could still attend as an observer and join in discussions. Other presentations from Chris Whismersley (Foreign and Commonwealth Office), Patrick Boylan and Major General (retired) Tony Rogers (University of Cambridge) explained the background to the Convention, benefits of ratification, and practical implications for the armed forces. It was recognised that manuals and education for military personnel are essential, and IFA and other archaeological bodies offered their help when required. They also offered to develop a capacity for immediate response in the event of future conflicts, to help avoid debacles like Iraq. A further meeting to discuss practical implications of ratification is scheduled for later this year.

Meanwhile, it was reported that, at the UN war crimes tribunal at The Hague, a retired Yugoslav senior officer was sentenced to eight years’ imprisonment for the 1991 Yugoslav army bombardment of the Old Town of Dubrovnik, despite his argument of military necessity.
Tim Howard, a practising Barrister since 1981, made the (rather strange) decision that he would like a career in archaeology, and so embarked on the Oxford University Diploma in Professional Archaeology. As part of this course he had a three month placement with IFA, with the remit of assessing the impact of the RAO scheme and how it can be improved and promoted.

His broad conclusions are that it is a worthwhile scheme that needs careful consideration in order to expand membership in curatorial and educational sectors, and more promotion both within and outside the profession. IFA must also demonstrate its will and capacity to enforce standards in order to build confidence, but the main thrust should be encouragement of continual improvements. RAOs should be widely inclusive and should personify the core values of the whole sector.

The RAO scheme, since its inception in 1996, has been open to all organisations involved in archaeology, but the accent has been on the commercial sector. Tim has been involved in talks with ALGAO over how IFA can move forward with that organisation, for traditionally curators have resisted application of common standards. However, now local authorities are using IFA standards and RAO registration to improve and maintain the quality of planning-related work, it is crucial that they sign up to the same rules. Local government archaeology services are under pressure and a clear mark of professional standing can only be beneficial, whether in the Council Chamber, the Inquiry room or at the information desk.

So far as the educational world is concerned, IFA is not seeking to regulate teaching, but that does not mean that professional regulation has no relevance, especially where universities are involved in fieldwork, as John Hunter described in TA 55.

With regard to pricing, the RAO committee has fixed annual registration fees for exclusively educational or curatorial organisations at £105 – a timely riposte to those who dismiss the scheme as an IFA money-making exercise. However, there is no such thing as a free launch. Effective administration and policing (which are central to any effective scheme) involve a significant investment in time and money.

Great strides have been taken in overhauling the Complaints Procedure (TA 55), introducing clear benchmarks for registration (with a system to prevent ‘serial defaulters’ from registering) with revised guidance notes. Procedural reform has been allied to a renewed resolve to ensure that IFA does not shirk firm action in appropriate cases. Central to the operation of the Register is the issue of trust which is fundamental to any professional relationship. The Institute must be able to rely upon information given by organisations, and those that provide false information will be removed from the Register.

Everyone agrees on the need for professional integrity, but those who oppose the RAO scheme and IFA membership should ponder the alternatives. If the need for regulation is agreed, but the objection is to the IFA and those who run it, the objectors are missing the point. It is not obligatory for members of a profession to like each other. The banishment of the Barbican Supermarket is a superficial but this rarely prevents the profession uniting in pursuit of common interests. Lawyers do not under-cut each other and they consistently speak with one voice in furtherance of professional interests. A fragmented archaeological profession plays into the hands of insincere politicians and unscrupulous developers. Without IFA, securing a fair deal for the profession would be all the harder.

IFA has now joined SAL in the venture, making the service available to all IFA members who want to receive it, setting out to cover the activities of IFA and its members (in particular its RAOs), and ensuring that our members and the rest of the world know what we are doing. One intention is to gather early news of discoveries by RAOs and give them the right sort of publicity, but publications, conferences, public events etc can all be promoted.

The dual purpose therefore is to serve our membership by providing them with information and news, and also by publicising their activities. Stories can be emailed by any IFA member, whether on the circulation list or not. We do hope you will use this e-newsletter to keep each other and the wider world in touch with developments within the archaeological community.

To add yourself to the email distribution, email admin@archaeologists.net. To send news, comments etc, email Chris Catling at mail@dial-house.co.uk. If you don’t have a personal account, why not ask your employer or a friend to receive it for your attention?

News from IFA
Alison Taylor

Annual Conference 2005

Well over 400 archaeologists were drawn to Winchester to enjoy a conference on the theme ‘Working in Historic Towns’. The keynote paper by Martin Biddle included a challenge to archaeologists (especially curators) working in a developer-funded world to match the research objectives of earlier work. The speech by Tessa Jowell also created interest, though perhaps not as much controversy as feared. In between there were at least 3 parallel sessions for 3 days, covering issues that included the problems of working on road schemes, how to deal with archaeological archives, results of recent work in our smaller towns, post-medieval burials and (most popular of all), some of the outstanding discoveries of 2004. There will be write-ups of some sessions in the summer issue of The Archaeologist, and papers submitted will be published on the IFA website.

SALON-IFA:
A free new membership service

The current SALON (Society of Antiquaries of London Online Newsletter) is a popular news service provided by the Society of Antiquaries of London (SAL) and sent to the email boxes of all Fellows (FSAs) who want it, 25 times each year. Edited by Christopher Catling, it covers governmental consultations and new legislation (actual and proposed), news and reviews of conferences, books, discoveries and TV programmes, job vacancies, deaths, issues of controversy within heritage, and other items that either relate to its Fellows or to their interests. The readership extends beyond Fellows to those in government departments, the press and other decision-makers. It is therefore valuable in spreading news, forming opinions, and generally expressing views from the heritage sector.
Second Meeting – 19 February 2005

There was excited apprehension prior to this meeting. Having made progress last October it was important to maintain that momentum to establish the basic remit of the Diggers’ Forum. To avoid becoming purely London-based we are committed to holding the next meeting outside London and will encourage formation of regional groups.

Healthy and Safety

Some site staff reported avoidable accidents but where stringent H&S had been applied and extra PPE required, this was not always appropriate and actually made the working environment more unpleasant. We will examine the current situation and insist that adequate, balanced and appropriate H&S be a priority for all employers.

Training

Many units were making the right noises, but failing to deliver. The Diggers’ Forum will cooperate with other bodies to ensure that initiatives, such as the one recently announced by IFA, are targeted appropriately.

IFA Council

The Forum will ensure that representation of site staff and finds specialists is increased within IFA Council, a key to genuine improvement in our working lives and the credibility of IFA. Furthermore, we will work with Prospect in support of its proposed National Pay Agreement.

Keep an eye on our page on the IFA website for any more news and information about membership – http://www.archaeologists.net/diggers. We hope to see you at the next meeting.

Paul Everill
Secretary, Diggers’ Forum

In 2002 I left full-time commercial archaeology to work on a PhD at the University of Southampton. My aim was to document how people feel about working in archaeology and plan for the future of the profession. In 2004 I completed a survey of 1045 archaeologists covering a range of industries.

37% believe that a rethink is needed to prevent future crisis, and 35% believe it is already in crisis. However, of the latter group only 21% are currently trying to get out of the profession, which supports the image of a dedicated workforce. 28% of under-30s are IFA members; 16% can’t afford to join; 15% feel the IFA isn’t relevant to them; 7% would never join, but 31% would consider it. In comparison, 33% of 31-40 year-olds are IFA members and 32% would consider joining.

For a fuller report and the opportunity to contribute opinions and experiences visit www.invisiblediggers.net.

Deborah Evers
Researcher, Diggers’ Forum

The Disability Discrimination Act (DDA 1995) and its amendment the Special Educational Needs and Disability Act (2001) is now in force, with legislation that requires employers and educational institutions to make reasonable adjustments to ensure that ‘disabled persons are not placed at a substantial disadvantage in comparison to persons who are not disabled’. Archaeology therefore faces quite a challenge, as Archaeology Labour Market Intelligence, 2002/03 revealed that just 0.34% of professional archaeologists are disabled as defined by DDA 1995, as are 3% of volunteers.

The problem starts in the universities, so Roberta Gilchrist at Reading has been awarded funding from the Higher Education Funding Council for England (PDfL5), for developments in teaching and learning concerning Archaeology and Disability in collaboration with the Inclusive Environments Research Group (Reading), and with Bournemouth University: IFA, CBA, English Heritage and Oxford Archaeology are all supporting this project.

The aim is to widen participation by challenging the stereotype of archaeology as a field discipline that excludes disabled participants. The dual issues of disability and transferable skills will be addressed in archaeological fieldwork teaching, but awareness of disability issues throughout the profession must be raised.

I began the survey in collaboration with IFA by a questionnaire to 48 Registered Archaeological Organisations to assess the situation within the commercial sector. Working with specialists in Inclusive Environments (directed by Geoff Cook), we are now analysing and characterising archaeological activities, skills and environments. A range of field activities will be addressed, including excavation and recording, field survey, instrument survey, environmental sampling and processing of artefacts. Through controlled testing and field trials, we will develop a self-assessment tool kit for physical and psychological abilities.

Guidelines will be produced to promote good practice, and publications through international journals will address academic and professional audiences in archaeology and disability studies. The archaeological community will be involved through training events, national conferences, newsletters and on the web.

What the project now needs is more stories and case histories from individuals with disabilities, whether they have succeeded in archaeological careers or been forced to give up. I am particularly interested in those who have been disabled during (especially if because of) their career, and what their experience has been. Such disabilities may be something as common as a bad back that stops active fieldwork (just quantifying this will be enlightening for our profession), or may be a perceived disability that in fact enhances other skills. This includes registered disabled and physical or mental disabilities that could impair working (details on our website).

If you have a story to tell I would love to hear from you before the end of June when this phase of the project ends.

Tim Phillips
Project Officer
Department of Archaeology, University of Reading
Whiteknights, PO Box 227
Reading RG6 6AB

Phone 0118 378 8293
Fax 0118 378 6718
Email inclusivearchaeology@reading.ac.uk
Website www.hca.beacademy/access-archaeology

THE INVISIBLE DIGGERS
Research into the reality of Professional Contract Archaeology in the UK

The archaeologists surveyed are divided into three age bands. Note the dramatic drop after 5 years.

Archaeological experience within survey respondents. Note the dramatic drop after 5 years.

INCLUSIVE, ACCESSIBLE, ARCHAEOLOGY

Tim Phillips

The Disability Discrimination Act (DDA 1995) and its amendment the Special Educational Needs and Disability Act (2001) is now in force, with legislation that requires employers and educational institutions to make reasonable adjustments to ensure that ‘disabled persons are not placed at a substantial disadvantage in comparison to persons who are not disabled’. Archaeology therefore faces quite a challenge, as Archaeology Labour Market Intelligence, 2002/03 revealed that just 0.34% of professional archaeologists are disabled as defined by DDA 1995, as are 3% of volunteers.

The problem starts in the universities, so Roberta Gilchrist at Reading has been awarded funding from the Higher Education Funding Council for England (PDfL5), for developments in teaching and learning concerning Archaeology and Disability in collaboration with the Inclusive Environments Research Group (Reading), and with Bournemouth University: IFA, CBA, English Heritage and Oxford Archaeology are all supporting this project.

The aim is to widen participation by challenging the stereotype of archaeology as a field discipline that excludes disabled participants. The dual issues of disability and transferable skills will be addressed in archaeological fieldwork teaching, but awareness of disability issues throughout the profession must be raised.

I began the survey in collaboration with IFA by a questionnaire to 48 Registered Archaeological Organisations to assess the situation within the commercial sector. Working with specialists in Inclusive Environments (directed by Geoff Cook), we are now analysing and characterising archaeological activities, skills and environments. A range of field activities will be addressed, including excavation and recording, field survey, instrument survey, environmental sampling and processing of artefacts. Through controlled testing and field trials, we will develop a self-assessment tool kit for physical and psychological abilities.

Guidelines will be produced to promote good practice, and publications through international journals will address academic and professional audiences in archaeology and disability studies. The archaeological community will be involved through training events, national conferences, newsletters and on the web.

What the project now needs is more stories and case histories from individuals with disabilities, whether they have succeeded in archaeological careers or been forced to give up. I am particularly interested in those who have been disabled during (especially if because of) their career, and what their experience has been. Such disabilities may be something as common as a bad back that stops active fieldwork (just quantifying this will be enlightening for our profession), or may be a perceived disability that in fact enhances other skills. This includes registered disabled and physical or mental disabilities that could impair working (details on our website).

If you have a story to tell I would love to hear from you before the end of June when this phase of the project ends.

Tim Phillips
Project Officer
Department of Archaeology, University of Reading
Whiteknights, PO Box 227
Reading RG6 6AB

Phone 0118 378 8293
Fax 0118 378 6718
Email inclusivearchaeology@reading.ac.uk
Website www.hca.beacademy/access-archaeology
Ancient monuments and archaeological areas: a magistrate’s decision

Roger Thomas

In the April 2004 issue of The Magistrate, (magistrates’ equivalent of TA), Anna Winstanley (Barister, formerly with English Heritage) and Roger Thomas (English Heritage) wrote a piece on Protecting our heritage, explaining the legislation that protects buried sites. The Magistrate invited its readers to study a fictitious ancient monuments offence and to compare the sentence they would give on conviction to the decision by a District Judge. This piece is reproduced by kind permission of The Magistrate, with grateful thanks to its Editor.

CASE SCENARIO

When Mr and Mrs Smith purchased a house and land they were aware that part of the land was scheduled under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act (AMAAA) 1979. The monument consists of the remains of a medieval settlement with ditches and banks. But much of the archaeology is inextricably linked. Much of IFA’s work to improve pay and conditions is dependent on comparisons with other professions. Change is in the air and I am delighted to be joining IFA at this exciting time.

Funding and training: workplace learning bursaries and other IFA initiatives

Kate Geary

Kate joined the staff of IFA in January, with special responsibility for initiatives related to training and standards within the professional area of archaeology. As an archaeological assistant at the Snowdonia National Park, she spent ten years as SMR Officer at the Gwynedd Archaeological Trust before moving to Devon County Council in 2003, to work on their SMR. As chair of the IFA Wales group from 1999 to 2003, she was actively involved in development of Welsh research agenda. During her time at GAT, she was Prospect representative for six years and co-leader of the Ranger branch of the Young Archaeologists Club. After less than two months in her new job, this is her first report.

Training is a major issue facing British archaeology and in particular IFA, for it is a means to raise standards and to improve the professional standing of archaeologists. Various TA articles have reported on initiatives by the IFA in partnership with the Archaeology Training Forum, CHINTO and others. This has included developing National Occupational Standards for archaeology (www.chinto.co.uk/development/ocs.html), a pilot for online recording of CPD and a manual for coach-mentoring can be found on IFA’s website. These are significant achievements in themselves, but they provide the building blocks for development of recognised career structures. My role is to implement strategies to develop and promote professional standards for historic environment professionals, and I will be concentrating on training, CPD, accreditation and career development issues in general.
Further Cadw-funded subprojects will arise from the Survey, both typological record enhancement and individual site conservation schemes. One has already occurred: rescue excavation was undertaken at the World Heritage Site of the Iron Age hill forts in Gwynedd; National Park and Cambria Archaeology (Dyfed Archaeological Trust) undertook to record and consolidate sensitive areas of the historic environment. Many new sites have indeed been identified during fieldwork, and this records-based descriptive survey is geared towards comprehensive enhancement of HERs, providing a description of the archaeological resource in the form of a standardised ‘snapshot in time’.

Matthew Ritchie
Assistant Inspector of Ancient Monuments
Cadw, Plas Carew
Unit 5/7 Cefn Coed
Pwllheli, Gwynedd LL55 4QF
matt.ritchie@wales.gsi.gov.uk

For the last five years Cadw has been funding the four Welsh Archaeological Trusts to record and evaluate all prehistoric funerary and ritual sites in Wales, one of a series of thematic pan-Wales surveys designed to assess the condition of the known archaeological resource through fieldwork. The results will improve HEIRs and the Welsh NMR and will feed into management initiatives (eg. agri-environment schemes) and statutory protection. A similar survey of deserted rural settlements will be published as a CBA monograph, while other projects include Roman vici and road systems and prehistoric defended enclosures.

Initial challenges included formulating a common survey methodology and reaching a consensus on terminology. Regular meetings between Cadw, Trust project officers and RCAHMW ensure common standards and easy integration. Interrogating HERs and constructing a complete dataset (eg for stone circles) should now be simple. Approximately 7000 sites will have been visited and recorded, with completion due in 2006. Site recording is accompanied by management recommendations where appropriate and follow-up scheduling will extend into 2007. Environmental advice and analysis is provided by the University of Wales, Lampeter.

Information has been disseminated through various media. Short notes are included annually within Archaeology in Wales (published by CBA Cymru), with longer discussions in local journals. A booklet, Caring for Prehistoric Funerary and Ritual Monuments, aims to educate and enthuse the public (particularly owners and occupiers of monuments) and describes appropriate conservation and management measures.

Further avenues for outreach include the education sector. Consultation demonstrated a demand for wallcharts on regional and/or typological themes which have a wide range of target age groups and potential uses – and are cheap, can be widely distributed and can link to the dynamic websites of the Trusts. It is expected that other community based initiatives will be developed.

Matthew Ritchie
Assistant Inspector of Ancient Monuments
Cadw, Plas Carew
Unit 5/7 Cefn Coed
Pwllheli, Gwynedd LL55 4QF
matt.ritchie@wales.gsi.gov.uk

As part of recent re-structuring (from 1 April) English Heritage now has Research Policy Heads by a mixture of periods and themes. After just a few days in the job, Jonathan Last outlines his approach as the new Head of Research Policy for Prehistory.

Almost all the sites listed in the 1882 Ancient Monuments Protection Act were prehistoric, partly a reflection of megaliths being less costly to maintain than medieval buildings. Today prehistoric archaeology has moved beyond a focus on upstanding monuments to recognising the significance of buried sites, environmental sequences and whole landscapes. This is reflected in the survey and excavation work undertaken by EH archaeologists and in projects funded by the Historic Environment Enabling Programme and the Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund Programme (ALSF). Project aims are often guided by research frameworks, which EH also facilitates, as well as the regional frameworks which cover themes (eg submarine prehistory), landscapes (eg Aveybury) and periods.

Increasing public awareness and access to the historic environment are key elements of English Heritage’s strategy for the next five years, which focuses on a ‘virtuous circle’ of understanding, valuing, caring and enjoying. Today, with only a marginal role in the national curriculum, bringing prehistory into the virtuous circle will require better education, publicity and access. Many themes in prehistory resonate with present-day concerns, from long-term histories of environmental change to biographies of individuals like the Amesbury Archer, perhaps the original economic migrant. The challenge is to translate our research into stories that engage and enthuse new audiences.

How can English Heritage help promote prehistory? One way is to treat outreach as an integral element of projects, both those undertaken in house and those funded through the grants programmes. This includes promoting new discoveries, such as the Palaeolithic engravings at Creswell Crags, enabling community participation, eg the rock art project described by Tertia Barnett (p26), and producing innovative syntheses from grey literature, like that by Dave Yates (p30).

ALSF has enormous significance for early prehistory in particular, where discoveries have always been intimately related to quarrying. Now research set to revolutionise Palaeolithic studies, like mapping submerged landscapes in the English Channel, is complemented by projects to promote the period more widely, like the purchase of Boscove quarry and the National Ice Age Network (p15).

The properties on Pitt-Rivers’ original schedule remain key assets of which more could be made. The best known, of course, is Stonehenge. In the 1880s the landowner resisted the attempts of the government inspector to raise stones and install policemen, though a fence was not long coming. Today the aim is to remove fences, along with the roads and other modern clutter. Although focusing on one site risks obscuring the diversity of our prehistoric heritage, the improved experience of Stonehenge will offer tremendous opportunities to convey the appeal of prehistory to new audiences. As well as opening up the landscape we also need to open people’s imaginations.

(For details of recent EH-funded projects, see: http://www.english-heritage.org.uk/adsm/remote/AOnline/HOME.asp)
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Palaeoenvironment, the historic environment and wildlife conservation

Elizabeth Pearson

It is not always obvious that wildlife conservation and protection of the prehistoric heritage are co-dependent, but in lowlands areas, the most diverse information comes from peat bogs, relict water channels and alluvial deposits, and river floodplains, features attractive to environmental archaeologist, wildlife conservationists and local communities alike. Destruction of such sites, for example as part of a flood alleviation scheme, may result from their invisibility on both the HER and databases held by environmental agencies. Better collaboration therefore is essential.

The ‘environment’ within ‘historic environment’ has received much attention in areas like the Severn Estuary, Somerset Levels, East Anglian Fens and the Humber wetlands where a particular type of landscape is obvious. The West Midlands has so far benefited little from such research, but in Worcestershire there has been a concerted effort to bring palaeoenvironmental work into the core of archaeology, and look to the future for management of the resource and research.

At Wellington Quarry, near Hereford, understanding changing environments has been an important part of the archaeology, and through this alluvial landscape a picture of late Palaeolithic environment to medieval agriculture is emerging. Here peat and alluvial deposits are consistently sampled, but in locations where human activity has not been demonstrated, this type of work does still falls through the net at the planning stage. In Worcestershire alone there are miles of floodplain containing a network of abandoned channels. It is clear that this should be reflected in the Historic Environment Record in order to flag up the requirement for environmental work.

Even small peat bogs have their uses. Many are affected by flood alleviation or wetland restoration schemes which can have both good and damaging effects. Recently, such schemes have affected peat deposits of Mesolithic date at Droitwich, and of Neolithic date in the Vale of Evesham. Archaeologists, wildlife conservationists, locals and planners alike may have an interest, but all may be unaware of its existence.

Worcestershire Historic Environment and Archaeology Service is therefore working on an enhancement of the HER. The first phase is an index of all environmental remains already recorded, plus key assemblages. It is hoped that a GIS layer will be added which predicts where deposits of potential exist.

Ideally the Historic Environment, Biological and Geological centres should co-ordinate their work and engage with academic institutions to develop research. The first steps are underway, but much work is still to be done.

Elizabeth Pearson
Worcestershire Historic Environment and Archaeology Service
Woodbury Hall, University College Worcester
Henwick Grove, Worcester WR2 6AJ
lpearson@worcestershire.gov.uk

Sands and gravels that provide a wealth of information about Holocene archaeology are remnants of Ice Age rivers and the meltwaters of retreating glaciers, and contain many clues about the lives and environments of the earliest inhabitants of Britain. The National Ice Age Network is an Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund (ALSF) funded initiative seeking to strengthen contacts between archaeologists, geologists, Quaternary scientists and other specialists, quarry companies and the public, to create an inclusive and supportive network of those interested in the Ice Age.

While in situ preservation is sometimes found, eg at Bosgrove, Sussex and Lynford, Norfolk, it is more common to find secondary context evidence that is durable enough to have survived dynamic depositional regimes, such as stone tools (particularly Achelulean handaxes) and large fauna. Such assemblages dominate the British Lower and Middle Palaeolithic record and demonstrate the importance of monitoring extraction sites.

Monitoring also allows us to identify fine-grained deposits within gravel sequences, which can preserve environmental data such as molluscs, beetles, plant remains and microvertebrates. Finds such as the Whitmoor Haye rhino provide an easy means with which to grab the public’s imagination, but without good relationships between quarry staff and archaeologists such discoveries would not be possible. Of equal value are the day-to-day tasks of recording sections, field walking in pits, checking reject heaps and environmental sampling.

The National Ice Age Network (NIAN) currently operates from the University of Birmingham and Royal Holloway (University of London), with further centres planned at Leicester and Southampton. These regional centres will act as hubs for seminars, public lectures, artefact handling sessions, and ‘Life in the Ice Age’ weekends.

One key objective is to assess the Pleistocene potential of quarries and ensure aggregate extraction sites with potential for Ice Age sediments and remains are actively monitored. This Herculean task needs help from other field archaeologists, so here comes the sales pitch… many of you already spend much of your time in or around quarries and so are ideally placed to assess their Pleistocene potential. The National Ice Age Network would like to help. We offer specialist advice on Pleistocene deposits, Palaeolithic archaeology, and the like, providing an ‘Ice Age infrastructure’ of knowledge and assistance.

We’ll be contacting units directly in the coming months, but in the meantime please check out the Network’s website at www.iceage.org.uk, email us with any questions or comments at info@iceage.org.uk and if we can be of any assistance just let us know.

Jenni Chambers
National Ice Age Network
University of Birmingham
j.c.chambers@bham.ac.uk
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The Heart of Neolithic Orkney: World Heritage Site research agenda
Sally Foster

The Heart of Neolithic Orkney was inscribed by UNESCO in 1999, its title applying to six discrete sites in West Mainland, Orkney, in the care of the Scottish Ministers through Historic Scotland.

These include the
• chambered tomb of Maeshowe
• stone circle and henge at Stones of Stenness and nearby stone settings known as the Watch Stone and the Barnhouse Stone
• stone circle, henge, adjacent standing stone and burial mounds at the Ring of Brodgar
• settlement of Skara Brae

Recent geophysical survey and trial excavation have produced evidence for dense prehistoric activity around the individual components of the WHS, including a Neolithic settlement between the henges at Ring of Brodgar and Stones of Stenness (Crown copyright courtesy of Historic Scotland).

ICOMOS guidelines for World Heritage Sites (WHS) recommend there should be mechanisms to devise, promote and co-ordinate research programmes in the area, an important task that has been carried out in Orkney with the contribution of many people and organisations, steered by Jane Downes of Orkney College University of the Highlands and Islands.

This is the first research agenda for a WHS in Scotland and the most up-to-date overview of Orcadian archaeology. The aim is to improve understanding of Neolithic Orkney whilst attempting to cross period boundaries. The approach is to consider how people have engaged with the world about them through time, identifying gaps in present knowledge.

Specific aims are to
• define the scope of extant and desirable research in and around the WHS
• identify gaps in knowledge
• outline the potential of the area to answer research questions
• encourage inter-disciplinary research into a broad spectrum of topics within the WHS and its wider context
• encourage research which will contribute to preservation, conservation, management and interpretation issues
• encourage research with wider methodological and/or theoretical applications.

The Agenda falls into seven parts
Part 1 Agenda setting Background to the Site, context, purpose and methodology of research agenda.
Part 2 Resource assessment Period-based assessment of the history of research in Orkney and summary of the current state of knowledge, highlighting gaps.
Part 3 Research themes Adopting an approach that cuts across period boundaries, ideas for future research are discussed under two strands: artefacts, monuments and cultural identity; formation and utilisation of the landscape.
Part 4 Techniques Specialists describe what will work best in Orkney and what merits more attention.
Part 5 Research strategy Each research theme is subdivided into more specific fields, and sample research topics are identified. Specific research projects, with an indication of how these might be prioritised, are incorporated.
Part 6 Appendices Supplementary information, including a summary of investigations at the WHS monuments, and archaeological fieldwork undertaken in Orkney 1945-2003.
Part 7 Extended bibliography.

This project was grant-aided by Historic Scotland, Orkney Islands Council and Orkney Heritage Society, with support from Orkney College UHI.

While stocks last, a free copy of this report can be requested from hs.conservation.bureau@scotland.gsi.gov.uk Tel 0131 668 8638 TCRE, Historic Scotland, Longmore House, Salisbury Place, Edinburgh, EH9 1SH, or can be downloaded from www.historic-scotland.gov.uk/orkneyresearch.

Sally M Foster
Senior Inspector of Ancient Monuments
Historic Scotland

The archaeology of Orkney is a research field of quite exceptional richness, by international as well as national standards. It is well served by this refreshing appraisal.
Colin Renfrew

This is cutting edge research. I predict that it will be used quite widely, far beyond Orkney, as a model of how such issues should be tackled. Colin Renfrew

Visitors at Maeshowe: research will help us to better interpret and present the monuments and their surrounding landscape, informing the work of the newly established WHS Ranger Service. (© Sally Foster)
Going grey: prehistory and the potential of grey literature

Richard Bradley

During a recent project to look at new evidence for prehistoric archaeology deriving from developer-funded archaeology, Richard Bradley and Tim Phillips visited all the English SMRs, the Welsh Archaeological Trusts, National Monuments Record of Scotland and many of the commercial archaeology firms in Ireland. Richard talked to unit directors and field officers and Tim made copies of selected extracts from all relevant reports – the so-called ‘grey’ literature. Richard is preparing an account of British and Irish prehistory which makes use of this wealth of crucial but barely digested data. After a pilot study funded by English Heritage, their work has been supported by a grant from the Arts and Humanities Research Board.

It is obvious that accounts of prehistoric archaeology are severely biased by the distribution of published fieldwork: that is being redressed by the results of contract archaeology. For example, we can now see that Neolithic cursuses developed in Scotland before many were built in England. On the other hand, some of the traditions that began during the Neolithic continued much longer in the north, and also in Ireland where stone circles were still being built during the Late Bronze Age.

More settlements, different burials

Early Neolithic settlements are now being found quite plentifully overall, with many examples in Ireland and some in Scotland, though in England their remains are more ephemeral. In Northern Britain, a number of timber halls are recognised as dating to this period. Fieldwork in most regions is also identifying Neolithic burials which are not associated with the usual forms of monument. Burial in single graves, however, may have been a short-lived phenomenon, and this particular way of treating the dead seems to have been reintroduced during the Beaker period. Again the evidence of monuments alone is quite deceptive, and modern excavation of large stripped areas is providing more evidence of Beaker flat graves. The distribution of Early Bronze Age burials shows fewer biases outside Wessex than before, with rich examples occurring now in areas such as Kent, the English Midlands, north-east Scotland and the east coast of Ireland. Some were associated with mounds, but others were in flat cemeteries.

Unexpected patterns, changing field systems

During the Middle and Late Bronze Age, the increasing scale of fieldwork means that features that were often studied in isolation, such as burnt mounds, hoards and urnfields, are now being found together and associated with settlement sites. Unexpected patterns can be recognised when we look at the data as a whole. For example, there are links between the areas known for Late Bronze Age ringworks, field systems and finds of metalwork from rivers, and there may be close parallels between some of the developments along the east coast of England and that of Ireland. In both countries there has been an increase in discoveries of Late Bronze Age settlements, and in lowland England it seems as if coaxial field systems were a feature of the Middle and Late Bronze Age landscapes and then went out of use during the Early Iron Age. Few examples were then created until the late pre-Roman period, and in between these phases other kinds of land boundaries, such as pit alignments, were apparently more important.

The Iron Age and regionality

In fact less is known about the Iron Age than is generally believed for, like Ireland, large parts of Britain were aerocratic. The use of storage pits for human burials has been discussed in recent years, but it is actually a regional phenomenon which occurs on both sides of the English Channel. Hill forts, too, may be an impressive but peripheral phenomenon compared with the rich open settlements of eastern England. Recent work is showing that some of the largest forts in Ireland were actually built during the Bronze Age and the same may be true in Scotland. The geography of prehistoric settlement is changing radically.

Data from a decade of developer-funded work is set to revolutionise the study of prehistory, and there is no doubt that the same will apply to later periods, too. We can now prove that good and useful work is being done: the challenge now is to make it more readily accessible to ensure that it is put to good use.

Richard Bradley
University of Reading
r.j.bradley@reading.ac.uk
It has become a truism that archaeologists now study landscapes rather than sites, and perhaps in the Iron Age this change of emphasis has moved furthest. Opportunities for excavation ahead of large scale developments has given us an appreciation of just how crowded the landscape had become by this time. One example is the A43 road scheme between Towcester (Northamptonshire) and the M40 in Oxfordshire.

Three quite separate middle Iron Age sites turned up in a space of 500m within the road corridor south of Towcester. Each had a different form, and possibly they were elements of the same settlement, each with distinct social and economic orientations. Silverstone Fields Farm had two roundhouses, many storage pits and a small ditched enclosure, apparently encircled by a fence. This contrasted with Silverstone 2, 150m down the slope, which was a large enclosure with just one house within the excavated area, and few pits. Silverstone 3 was an agglomerated settlement of unenclosed houses and small enclosures, not bounded overall. The site included a deep-ditched enclosure similar to Northamptonshire ‘defended enclosures’ of this period.

Finds and environmental samples show subtle differences suggesting different economic emphases. Spelt wheat was the predominant cereal at

Silverstone Fields Farm, where there was evidence of grain processing, whereas barley was more common at Silverstone 2. This may be related to use of barley as fodder, indicating a greater pastoral component. Here the preponderance of cattle contrasted with the dominance of sheep at Silverstone Fields Farm. Were sheep linked to arable cultivation in the sort of symbiotic relationship suggested for some Wessens sites? Silverstone 3 showed an intermediate position and there are suggestions the site started earlier and split into its arable and pastoral components later on.

Human remains were found at Silverstone Fields Farm. These comprised five infants buried at intervals within the ditch of the small enclosure. Ritual events of this nature may have served as a means of social integration for these sites and the surrounding area.

Andy Mudd
Northamptonshire Archaeology
amudd@northamptonshire.gov.uk
The River Dee rises in the heart of the Cairngorms, meandering through its broad valley for some 130km before flowing into the North Sea. Peppered with medieval strongholds, the valley is famous for its salmon, its light soils and its oak-woods, from which cathedral roofs and great sailing vessels were built. But the importance of the Dee stretches much further back.

Recent discoveries on land held by the National Trust for Scotland (NTS) are confirming that the earliest prehistory of Deeside really is rather special.

**MESOLITHIC IN THE MOUNTAINS**

Mesolithic sites have often been found along the Dee, from a shell midden at its mouth to a lithic scatter 60km upstream. Occupation/activity sites cluster on the gravel terraces, but this pattern is probably due more to the difficulties of identifying sites under the peat than to the seasonal and social cycles in early prehistory. It is only very recently that occupation in mountainous areas has been recognised, an unexpected result of a historic landscape project established by the NTS at Ben Lawers, in central Scotland. And in 2003, close to the headwaters of the Dee, a scatter of worked flint and quartz was discovered as the result of footpath repair works on the NTS’s Mar Lodge Estate.

**PRESERVATION UNDER PEAT**

Sample assemblages of lithics from the riverside and from pockets in the riverbank where the site (or sites) is eroding out from under the peat has shown that the artefacts are largely in situ, and that tool making, use and repair all occurred here. A paucity of flint cores and primary flakes suggests initial knapping was taking place elsewhere. Much of the flint is burnt, and charcoal is eroding out of the riverbank, a hint of remains of human activity preserved beneath the peat.

An early prehistoric human presence among the mountain passes of the Cairngorms is extremely exciting, offering much greater understanding of the complex relationships between human communities and their multiple landscapes – landscapes physical and symbolic, of geography, time, distance, social and intellectual endeavour. Located in such a challenging landscape, the site allows us to rethink concepts such as remoteness, peripherality and mobility.

**BUILDINGS OF THE FOURTH MILLENNIUM BC**

Recent investigations on the Crathes Castle Estate in lower Deeside shed light on changing lifeways in the late fifth/early fourth millennium BC. In 1976, aerial photography revealed extensive cropmarks on river terraces, including a pit alignment and a rectangular structure with rounded ends, some 9m by 20m. Although sitting directly across the river from the Early Neolithic timber building of similar scale at Balbridie, the Crathes structure was more aligned with subrectangular cropmarks thought to be early medieval. NTS trial excavations in 2004 have transformed the picture, revealing that the building (of Deeside oak) burned down between 3650 and 3950 cal BC, and that the pit alignment dates to the same period.

**CROPMARK REASSESSMENT**

The implications are considerable: the proximity of two such rare buildings and another major contemporary monument presents an unparalleled opportunity to explore new frameworks for living as they develop in northeastern Scotland. Similarly, the need to reassess our assumptions of what Early Historic and Early Neolithic cropmarks actually look like is now pressing. Further exploration of the cropmark complex at Crathes may shed light on the relationship between massive timber buildings and more ephemeral features associated with Early Neolithic Scotland.

**DECORATIVE BIRCHWOOD AND GRAINS OF WHEAT**

Material evidence from the Crathes building is of high quality: fragments of carbonised turned birch wood, some with carved decoration, are an incredibly rare survival which complements the fine ceramic assemblage. The cereals recovered include bread wheat – extremely unusual in the Scottish Neolithic but paralleled at Balbridie – and a grain of spelt, which until now has not been recognised in Scotland before the first millennium BC. One grain does not make a community supping bowls of *zuppa di farro*, but it strengthens the argument that what is happening at these large structures is something out of the ordinary.

Thanks are due to Historic Scotland, Aberdeenshire Council and the Prehistoric Society, who have variously helped NTS to fund these research projects.

Shannon Fraser
The National Trust for Scotland
North East Regional Office
The Stables, Castle Fraser, Sauchen, Inverurie
Aberdeenshire AB51 7LD
Tel: (0)1330-830214
sfraser@nts.org.uk

A VERY SPECIAL PLACE: EARLY PREHISTORY IN THE DEE VALLEY, NORTHEAST SCOTLAND

Shannon Fraser
Iron Age buildings, burials and metal-working at Knowe of Skea Ness, Orkney

Hazel Moore and Graeme Wilson

An Iron Age combined funerary and metalworking complex being excavated by EASE Archaeology at the Knowe of Skea, an eroding site on the Island of Westray in Orkney, offers the rare potential to investigate both funerary practice and the demographics of an Iron Age population.

- The eroding sea

The Knowe of Skea is a large mound on the highest point of a small tidal islet at the end of the Berst Ness promontory, a prominent feature in the landscape. Smaller mounds on the promontory are thought to be earlier prehistoric funerary monuments. The site lies exposed to the Atlantic Ocean and is being eroded by the sea; during stormy winter weather it is frequently inundated, and archaeological deposits containing pottery, shell and bone are visible in sea-cut exposures.

- Masonry building

The earliest building so far identified is at the centre of the mound. In its earliest form, it was subrectangular, with free-standing drystone walls of exceptionally fine masonry. There are currently no absolute dates available for its inception and very few artefacts were recovered from the earliest phases. It seems unlikely that this building was used for settlement either at this or any later period. It was in use over a long period of time and slowly evolved in size and shape before going out of use at some time after the seventh or eighth centuries AD.

Over its lifetime, this building was repaired and altered on numerous occasions. The original free standing walls appear to have been somewhat unstable and were thickened with extra skins of masonry added outside on at least three occasions. This created a more monumental facade, which in its final form would have appeared like a substantial round house or broch, especially when viewed from afar.

- The interior of the building was also modified; the original subrectangular plan was altered to oval, and subdivided by compartments lining the walls and surrounding a central floor area which contained a large hearth. The range of artefacts recovered from inside the building was extremely limited, comprising no more than a few sherds of pottery and worked bone objects, including a composite double sided comb, a spindle whorl, two bone pins and a weaving sword with carved decoration, even though preservation conditions were good. The lack of items such as quern stones and the very low numbers of stone tools stand in stark contrast to the usually rich and prolific nature of Orcadian settlement sites.

- Burials within walls

Outwith the central building, excavation on the northern slopes of the mound has revealed a complex sequence of buildings, including incorporation of articulated human remains into the fabric of buildings during construction. The deposits here postdate construction of the central monumental, building.

Well over a hundred burials have been uncovered at the Knowe of Skea, approximately 60% of them neonates or children. Two adult burials have so far been radiocarbon dated: one providing a date range of between cal AD130-410, the second between cal AD200 and 240. Samples have been collected for both isotope and DNA analysis and it is hoped there will be funding to undertake a full suite of forensic investigations.

- Animal burials

Some animal burials have also been recovered. One in particular, of a cow, was immediately beneath the foundations of one small building. This was divided into two cells, the largest of which contained a central hearth, and it was here that evidence of metalworking waste and a pin mould were found.

Work on the site was a result of a survey of coastal erosion, was commissioned by Orkney Archaeological Trust and funded by Historic Scotland and Orkney Islands Council.

Hazel Moore and Graeme Wilson
EASE Archaeology
Unit 8, Abbeymount Techbase
2 Easter Road
Edinburgh EH7 5AN

- Iron Age buildings, burials and metal-working at Knowe of Skea Ness, Orkney

The burials had been interred successively within rubble overlying earlier buildings, and sealed by later buildings. With each new interment, some of the preceding burials had been disturbed. One of the earliest had been placed within a stone cist and was well preserved, but no signs of any kind of setting has been found with other burials. Most of the bodies had been placed on one side with the legs flexed towards the chest.
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Although several thousand engraved panels of prehistoric rock art have been documented, principally in northern England, Scotland and Ireland, research and public awareness are still limited compared with other parts of the world. We have no clear understanding of its original purpose, negligible educational material and limited guidance on how it should be treated. More significantly, we lack an archive on which to base our research and to inform conservation and management programmes. This has serious implications for the growing numbers of sites threatened by neglect or bad practice.

The Rock Art Pilot Project (RAPP) commissioned by English Heritage in 1999 stated that urgent action was needed. The Rock Art Management, Access, Study, and Education Strategy (RAMASES) was developed, with six interrelated strands: recording, global context; conservation and management; investigations; access initiatives. Five themes running through each strand are knowledge creation; public participation; partnerships; widening access; global relevance.

National rock art archive
Recording all engravings and establishing a comprehensive archive is essential for future programmes. However, systematic recording and monitoring of thousands of scattered sites demands substantial manpower and expertise, so Northumberland and Durham County Councils have enrolled the local community to help. The project, funded by English Heritage, will run until September 2006. The volunteers will use a standardised methodology which will be entirely non-intrusive, and a specially designed database, the foundations of a new national rock art archive that will be accessible via an interactive website. This will provide a fantastic resource for research, conservation, management and education, and will raise awareness of British rock art at home and abroad.

Core fieldworkers from the community
The response from the local community has been overwhelming. Fifty volunteers were selected as core fieldworkers, with a further 40 for data entry, archive sorting, publicity, education and awareness-raising. Five fieldwork teams have been operating autonomously in Northumberland and Co Durham since January 2005, the first few months a trial period during which survey and recording techniques, equipment, the communication strategy and data quality were monitored and refined. Those core fieldworkers will play key roles throughout the project and will pass on training to new recruits and visiting groups.

Capture in 3D
New approaches to data capture, conservation and interpretation of rock art are being explored. In particular we are experimenting with 3D techniques such as laser scanning, remote sensing and photogrammetry, a unique opportunity to apply state-of-the-art technology to a neglected area where physical context and 3dimensionality are crucial. Later on local people will organise exhibitions, demonstrations and interactive events to engage a wider audience.

At the end of the project, we will produce recommendations for future rock art programmes across other regions, recommendations which will have been partly shaped by a cross section of the local community. This involvement will enrich both the process and the outcome and provide a sustainable framework for the future of British rock art.

Tertia Barnett
Rock Art Project Officer
Northumberland and Durham County Councils
tbarnett@northumberland.gov.uk

A community approach to prehistoric rock art
Tertia Barnett

Prehistoric rock art at its most impressive.
Conditions for seeing it are not always good, and many sites are difficult to get to. The project is exploring new ways of capturing and presenting the engravings and their physical setting in order to raise awareness and appreciation.
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(left) English Heritage surveyors train volunteers in landscape survey techniques in Northumberland.
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(far left) The volunteers have remained enthusiastic throughout the training and fieldwork programmes despite the weather.
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Shetland’s prehistory has long been overshadowed by Orkney, which is easier to get to and where sites are monumental in character. But, as the last ten years have demonstrated, lack of modern farming in a rural landscape, where upland sheep subsidies start at sea level, means Shetland’s prehistoric archaeology is second to none.

Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age landscapes can be traced for miles across the scattald (hill grazings) interspersed with unexcavated visible houses and field boundaries.

In recent years Shetland Amenity Trust has set the agenda for change and facilitated new research. This has been carried out by EASE (Environmental and Archaeological Services, Edinburgh) and the University of Bradford, with valuable input from other academic institutions, (Stirling, Oxford, Aberdeen, Glasgow).

Improving soils in prehistory

Excellent survival makes Shetland the obvious place to unravel prehistoric agriculture. The University of Stirling has demonstrated how soil management was a vital component of Shetland’s prehistoric economy. Plaggan soils were first located in Bronze Age South Nesting, and subsequent work has taken the study of land management through soil improvement both backwards and forwards in time. This work is still in progress.

Burnt mounds

Another focus of study has been burnt mounds. These are generally visible as mounds of 1 metre or more, comprising fist sized, heated shattered stone arranged in a crescent around a central trough of stone slabs. EASE has excavated two: Tangwick, North Mainland and Cruister, Bressay. Both mounds overlay industrial looking cells which contained pottery. These underlying structures had previously been hinted at in excavations of the 1970s at the Ness of Sound, Lerwick and Gniesta, Tingwall and during work by Bradford University at Troovie Loch, Nesting. The pottery appears to be high quality tableware, and includes a cauldron with a bosses rim and another with skeuomorphic seams. Your average Bronze Age pottery it is not. Now we can see the Bronze Age landscapes furnished with houses and fields, we know that the burnt mounds are not where people are living, but are perhaps where they bathed, feasted or engaged in something smell (eg tanning).

Coastal erosion is a major threat to Shetland’s prehistory. Bayanne, Yell was one such site. Excavation by EASE has demonstrated the full sequence of evolution of architectural forms, from Late Neolithic to the Middle Iron Age, with abundant stone tools worked bone and midden. The middens had specialised purposes: the abundant steatite was dumped in one place, shell and bone in another.

Brochs and smithies

At Burland, Trondra, an ancillary building to a broch (situated on an offshore helm) was a dedicated smithy used for both smelting and casting. Added to recent evidence emerging from Old Scatness, a good case now can be made for the brochs controlling metal work. Shetland’s mineral wealth may have been its greatest asset in prehistory.

Shetland’s Flagship Project has been Old Scatness, an innovative partnership between Shetland Amenity Trust and the University of Bradford. Commenced in 1995 to examine a broch in its economic and environmental setting, it has surpassed our wildest imaginings. The broch is surrounded by a village in which the average building still survives 2m high. The sequence of buildings has been the subject of an integrated dating programme (C14, archaeological and optically stimulated luminescence and stratigraphic information). This vast suite of dates has given us an absolute chronology for the Iron Age/Pictish periods through to the coming of the Vikings. It has enabled us to make sense of the paved and aisled roundhouse tradition of the Western Isles’ wheelhouses and how it relates to the later wheelhouse tradition (c. 650AD) identified by Hamilton at nearby Jarlshof (in Shetland we have no Romans, so prehistory lasts until around AD850).

Wealth and exotica

A sieving programme is picking up small fragments of artefacts and exotica. Evidence of wealth runs from the broch period to the Late Iron Age. Metal working includes pins and brooches in copper alloy and silver; beef cattle have been butchered with heavy iron cleavers yet to be found in the archaeological record. A Pictish bear carving is an accomplished piece of art.

Not only has the archaeology been outstanding but public understanding and ownership of the work has been important. Hence we are facing the challenge of consolidating the excavated site. At many sites reinterpretation is complicated by problems of understanding what is original, so we not only record the buildings as found, but every intervention, right down to every pinning we insert, and our work is entirely reversible.

Christian babies

New light was shed on early Christianity when Glasgow University excavated at St Ninians Isle, primarily to discover what was left after excavations in the 1950s. Immediately south of the long cist were burial of five babies aligned east/west, with a Christian cross at their heads. Each was overlaid by a small empty cist. Perhaps newly converted Shetlanders were hedging their bets.

With projects on the drawing board and research agenda constantly evolving, Shetland’s archaeology is coming of age.

I’d like to thank Hazel Moore, EASE and Steve Dockrill, University of Bradford for mulling these thoughts over with me.

Val Turner
Regional Archaeologist, Shetland
Shetland Amenity Trust
Garthspool, Lerwick
Shetland ZE1 0NY
01595 694688
Val@shetlandamenity.org

Wheelhouse at Old Scatness prior to consolidation © Shetland Amenity Trust

Dominic Echlin of Elliott and Company conservation engineers assessing the consolidation plans © Shetland Amenity Trust
As Richard Bradley describes on page 18, the advent of developer funded projects, especially those involving large area excavation, has changed our understanding of many aspects of prehistoric Britain. One outstanding example is the major phase of economic expansion that occurred in Southern England during the second and early first millennium BC, accompanied by a fundamental shift in regional power and wealth towards the eastern lowlands. Until recently we were largely ignorant of the farming practices associated with these dramatic changes, but now archaeologists have cut innumerable ditch sections, planned and projected miles of boundaries and bagged countless bulk samples tackling the largest form of monumental construction in prehistoric Britain: Bronze Age field systems.

LARGE SCALE ANIMAL HUSBANDRY

In specific zones of Southern Britain, unwary project managers are only too painfully aware (financially) of the complexity of the Bronze Age landscape they might encounter. In a research project, funded by English Heritage, all the evidence of ditches, enclosures, coaxial and aggregate field systems throughout England has been pieced together. This synthesis draws on the substantial body of grey literature generated by field personnel. It reveals a scale of regimented prehistoric landscaping without parallel to date in Europe. The research confirms the sophistication and importance of large-scale animal husbandry (first flagged up by Francis Pryor in his pioneering Fenland research) and shows that Middle and Late Bronze Age field systems are mostly confined to a region south of a line between the Bristol Channel and the Wash. Not only are they confined to Southern England, especially the South East; their occurrence is restricted to particular enclaves in this area. This is less true of earthwork sites than of the lowland field systems discovered in excavation. The systems are commonly found on the coast, along estuaries or beside major rivers and their tributaries, locations where people were well placed to participate in long distance exchange, but were vulnerable when social networks collapsed as the Bronze Age came to a close. Some of these regions seem to have been used so intensively that Bronze Age land divisions extend into adjacent areas with heavier, less productive soils. They are found along the Thames, its estuary and major tributaries. The Fenland was also important at this time, although it is clear that the field systems are associated with the lower reaches of the major feeder rivers (Welland, Nene, Great Ouse, Cam, Lark, and Little Ouse) rather than the wetland itself. They also occur along the North Sea and English Channel coasts, including farming settlements on the fertile loess of the Sussex coastal plain, another region already known for its deposits of metalwork.

CONSPICUOUS PRODUCTION

In certain cases it seems that Middle Bronze Age field systems went out of use in the Late Bronze Age and that some Late Bronze Age systems were established in different positions from their predecessors. There is little evidence that they were used or maintained far into the Early Iron Age. More importantly, there is little to suggest that similar land divisions were newly established during the Early Iron Age. In lowland England the creation of ‘Celtic’ fields may have lapsed for several hundred years. The field systems may represent a form of conspicuous production, an intensification of agrarian endeavour best understood in relation to the maintenance and promotion of hierarchical social systems involved in exchange with their counterparts across the English Channel.

SPIRITUAL REPLENISHMENT

Before the advent of commercial excavation there were less than half a dozen known excavated lowland Bronze Age field systems in Southern Britain. Now there are already more than 300. Their detection (and confirmation of zones of absence) is one of the great achievements of developer funding. Two major challenges, however, have emerged. First what is the best way to preserve (by record or scheduling) such monumental constructions? Secondly comes the realisation that such formally gridded terrains are immensely complex in construction conventions, social meaning, phasing and incorporation of ritualised practices. Many answers as to how people engaged in the new world of farming lie scattered in the fields. For example, waterholes not only provide environmental evidence but may contain special deposits including metalwork, animal bones, human remains and token cremations. These features may have the same symbolic significance as storage pits in the Iron Age.

There are also indications of spiritual replenishment in these enclosed farmlands. Work in Cornwall and Cambridgeshire suggests that field shrines were incorporated and the discovery of human bones within manure matrices suggests a special emphasis on soil enrichment - possibly signalling the continued participation of the dead. Unlocking the intricacies of such potentially large-scale ‘sites’ requires development of new strategies and sampling methodologies.

Land, Power and Prestige (David Yates) covering all the research findings will shortly be published by Oxbow Books.

Dave Yates
Department of Archaeology
University of Reading

Snow covered coaxial fields at Brisley Farm, near Ashford in Kent. Late Bronze Age paddocks and boundaries covered some of the worst clay soils imaginable.

Reconstruction painting by Casper Johnson.
A ritual landscape at Boscombe Down

Andy Manning, Wessex Archaeology

Boscombe Down has yielded some major discoveries in recent years; the Amesbury Archer and the Boscombe Bowmen. But why were these men buried there? The discovery of contemporary ritual monuments in the latest excavations has begun to provide an explanation.

Previous work had already identified a significant ritual landscape in this future housing estate on the outskirts of Amesbury, Wilts. In 2002, the Amesbury Archer, the richest Early Bronze Age or Beaker burial in Britain so far known, was found. Within a year, the mass grave of the ‘Boscombe Bowmen’ was found. These men are likely to have originated in Wales. Inevitably, links have been drawn between these two discoveries and the building of the stone circles at Stonehenge, less than three kilometres to the west. But why were the burials made at Boscombe Down, rather than close to the contemporary temples at Stonehenge, Durrington Walls or Woodhenge? Preliminary results from major excavations covering over 13 hectares adjacent to the grave of the Amesbury Archer undertaken in 2004 are exciting.

Pit circle on a plateau

A prominent plateau, which gradually falls into a dry valley further to the east, was partially encircled in the Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age by a shallow angular ditch with a possible external bank and a series of short ditch segments and pits. More significantly, a large pit circle, estimated to have been at least 80m in diameter, occupied the crest of a plateau. Thirty-one pits spaced 3-4m apart were uncovered, most with no evidence for having supported timbers. Instead, they contained a wide variety of worked flint, bone and pottery, including Late Neolithic Grooved Ware and a small number of placed deposits. However, ramps on the sides of four of the larger pits here showed that substantial timbers had been slid into position before erection. The timbers may have only been in position for a short period, although the presence of Beaker and Collared Urn in some pits indicates that the monument was in use into the Early Bronze Age.

Burial sequence

The pit circle and enclosure were the focus of ritual activity. An Early Bronze Age barrow had a body placed in a timber mortuary structure, and shortly afterwards the grave was re-opened and the remains of a neonate and perhaps one or two young adults were interred. The articulated human remains of the original burial were rearranged, with two fragmentary Beakers, a barbed and tanged arrowhead and part of an antler in the backfill of the grave.

A small shallow ring ditch, possibly a small hengiform feature, lay a few metres south of the barrow, close to the terminal of the enclosure ditch. The tightly crouched burial of an infant had been placed within the ring ditch, and the grave was filled with tightly packed flint nodules.

There were three other crouched burials and a semi-articulated burial within a conical shaft. In addition, pits containing fragmentary human remains were found in close association with both monuments. In one case, a pit that contained only an upturned skull was flanked by two further pits that each contained a complete Beaker. The disarticulated human remains suggest excarnation. A possible excarnation platform is represented by a four-post structure with a central pit lying between the two monuments and other clusters of postholes, both within the enclosure and throughout the plateau, may have served a similar purpose.

Placed deposits

Numerous scattered pits were found concentrated near to the monuments. These contained charcoal, flint, bone and pottery that may represent placed deposits. The presence of Grooved Ware and Early Bronze Age Beaker and Collared Urn material show that these deposits were made over a long period. One rectangular pit contained the carefully arranged remains of a juvenile aurochs or wild cow with the limbs detached, the lower limbs being placed close to the head.

Ritual landscape

It is within this ritual landscape that the Amesbury Archer and the Boscombe Bowmen can now be viewed. They were not buried in isolation, but close to monuments, constructed in the Late Neolithic or the beginning of the Early Bronze Age. These monuments were probably still standing when the Archer and Bowmen died in the Early Bronze Age.

Ritual activity then ceased. Generations later, the plateau was briefly occupied by a small Middle/Late Bronze Age farm and later it was divided by a massive Bronze Age boundary ditch. It may be coincidence, or not, that the plateau was chosen as the setting for the cemeteries of the Late Romano-British village and returned once more to a ritual landscape.

Andy Manning
Wessex Archaeology
www.wessexarch.co.uk
Prehistoric flintwork – an undervalued resource?

Flint is sharper than metal and second only to diamonds in hardness. It can be worked predictably to produce tools of defined type and shape and for a variety of tasks. Immensely durable, it is the only material used on sites that survive. Without flint we would understand little about our past. We therefore need to appreciate how prehistoric people used flint, where they obtained raw materials, and how tools were made and used.

During the Mesolithic, the use of microliths to make composite hunting equipment required production of bladelets, which needed good quality flint and very controlled working. When hunting equipment changed in the Early Neolithic, and leaf-shaped arrowheads replaced microliths, the knapping strategy changed, and it continued to change throughout the Neolithic. The transformation becomes more apparent in the Bronze Age, when metal begins to replace flint, leading to a general decline in flintworking skills and a smaller range of tools. Flint tools were not solely functional; there are many prestige items found unused with burials and in ritual deposits, such as pits or ditch terminals. An increase in such deposits of finely made axes, knives and arrowheads occurred just at the time when general flintworking skills were declining.

Diagnostic pieces can quite easily be given a broad date, but many sites produce huge quantities of debitage, but few tools or diagnostic pieces. Again, sites frequently comprise more than one phase, and assemblages can become mixed. Alternative methods of dating or phasing therefore need to be found. Proven techniques such as length-breadth analysis and an understanding of how different raw material sources were exploited in different periods can often provide an answer.

Technological characteristics that result from the manufacturing process, seen on flakes and cores as well as ordinary implements, may successfully be used for classification, and it is these that flint specialists today concentrate on. Replication of flint tools and ethnographic studies have also contributed to understanding the knapping process, the debitage that is produced, and how different tools are made and used. Use-wear analysis is also currently back in favour.

A detailed study of a good stratified flintwork assemblage provides both dating evidence and information about activities on the site, together with aspects of trade, technology, social organisation and even ritual.

Chris Butler
Freelance Archaeologist
chris@reltub.fsbusiness.co.uk
Author: Prehistoric Flintwork, Tempus Publishing Ltd 2005

PREHISTORIC ARTEFACTS

The Portable Antiquities Scheme (PAS) was introduced in 1997 and since 2003 has covered all England and Wales. The volume of material recorded is vast and at the end of March 2005 there were 138,000 artefacts on the database (www.finds.org.uk). Most have been found using a metal detector and, although their study is hampered by lack of stratigraphic context, most findspots have a six figure NGR and thus distribution patterns can be established with some precision. One of the real strengths of PAS is creation of an ever increasing national accessible database of material.

So what is the archaeological potential of this data? My recent study of 3200 Iron Age artefacts includes the most heavily studied ‘heartland’ areas of the Iron Age such as Wessex and Herefordshire, as well as ‘marginal’ less intensively researched areas. An interesting pattern emerges for example for middle to late Iron Age horse and vehicle equipment. The traditional view has seen Wessex and East Yorkshire as the Iron Age equine material culture ‘hot-spots’, but recent studies in Norfolk demonstrate that county’s artefactual wealth. PAS data also reveals marked differences in the types and proportions of horse and vehicle equipment: terrets now dominate, with a total of 127 recorded and there are 24 linchpins and 15 strap-unions.

In contrast to excavated evidence, only a modest quantity of horse and vehicle equipment has been recorded by PAS in Hampshire, Somerset, Dorset and East Yorkshire. However the distribution in the eastern counties of Norfolk, Suffolk and Lincolnshire is striking. Of particular note are 21 artefacts within this group recorded from Warwickshire, Herefordshire and Worcestershire and Staffordshire. The total of 7 linch pins recorded is outstanding, especially when compared to the 4 examples recorded previously. Interestingly, the number of brooches normally exceeds that of horse and harness fittings, although in Staffordshire and Warwickshire the opposite is the case. The pattern is curious and highlights a possible regional trend in the Late Iron Age with horses and their trappings being more decorated than people. The use of horse gear with metal fittings seems to have been exaggerated within and underestimated outside Wessex.

PAS data is therefore beginning to change perceptions of aspects of the archaeology of England and Wales and is building an ever-increasing research resource.

Sally Worrell
PAS Finds Adviser for Prehistoric and Roman artefacts,
s.worrell@ucl.ac.uk
Building materials

for the archaeologist

Michael Nevell

Building materials are often diagnostic of date and function, and can also reveal the extensive local, regional, and national trade networks of a given period. Before the 1830s principal construction materials were stone (including flint and cobble) in the uplands, clay for brick making, and oak timber in lowlands. Important materials after the 1830s are iron, steel, and concrete.

Timber technology

Amongst the earliest surviving timber structures in Britain is Greensted church in Essex (mid-eleventh century), but most standing timber structures date from the fourteenth century onwards. These are usually made from oak in either box-framing (where the mortar of the separate roof trusses was taken on the wall frames) or cruck-framing (where the roof load was supported through pairs of included trusses running from floor to ridge height). There is little chronological difference between the two types, but crucks are most common in Wales and central and northern England. Carpenter’s marks, usually Roman numerals, are important elements in the recording and understanding of timber structures. The wall spaces between the uprights and rails were usually filled with either wattle and daub or later on brick, but timber wall frames could be clad in wooden tiles or covered in timber weatherboarding according to regional taste. Timber-framing ceased to be the normal means of construction in lowland Britain in the later seventeenth century, but the box-framed tradition survived long enough to be revived in the mid to late nineteenth century, whilst timber remained in use for roof structures. The decline in vernacular carpentry techniques can be seen in the nineteenth century, with the introduction of iron bolts and nails in the assembly of roof trusses. One feature of the new industrial factories was the use of pine timber (sometimes from the Baltic and indicated by geometric marks on the wood) for floors, supporting posts, and roof trusses.

Styles of stone

The use of stone in buildings is extremely varied but perhaps the major divide is between irregular types of rubble walling, often graduated with the larger stones at the bottom, and regular walling techniques using finished and smoothed ashlar blocks which often fronted a rubble wall. The style of tooling of such finished blocks gives a broad indication of date. However, the stylistic divide between rubble and ashlar walls appears to be more one of economic and social status rather than chronology, although traditions vary from region to region. Where cobbles and flints were the common building medium more polite structures were often rendered.

Cement, a stone substitute, was first reintroduced into Britain in a 1796 Patent by James Parker, but was not popularised until Portland Cement was manufactured at Swanscombe in Kent 1844.

Bricks – decorative finish to mass production

Although in regular use from the late medieval period brick only rarely became a fashionable building medium in polite architecture before the late sixteenth century. This, combined with the increasing restrictions on oak timber supply, probably encouraged its use in vernacular architecture in the seventeenth century, when many timber buildings were given false fronts or were completely encased in brick. Sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth-century brickwork is distinguished by decorative effects using coloured bricks, produced by differential firing, and projecting mouldings. The unfamiliarity of some of the early craftsmen with the structural strengths of brick led occasionally to the use of iron tie plates to brace walls, whilst sometimes a timber-lintel behind a brick arch would carry most of the weight across an opening. A variety of Brick Taxes (1725 1784, 1803), attempted to standardise sizes and can provide broad dating if used carefully. Again the introduction and span of these features varies from region to region. Initially handmade in clamp kilns, moulded and terracotta bricks were introduced in the eighteenth century and machine-made bricks in the mid-nineteenth, by which date the vernacular traditions of the medium had all but disappeared. The introduction of machine-made brick coincided roughly with the building of the first generation of railways and allowed mass-produced bricks of a standard and regular size to be transported across Britain from the major brickfields in areas such as Bedfordshire. The transition from handmade to machine brick is one of the most useful relative dating horizons in Buildings Archaeology.

Michael Nevell
University of Manchester
Mike.Nevell@manchester.ac.uk

Sources of buildings materials for Tameside houses. Top: the 1755 Oakdene Farmhouse was built using materials local to Tameside. Bottom: the Kershaw Lane housing estate built in the 1940s in Audenshaw used materials from around Britain and abroad. Note the increase in floor area between 1755 and the 1940s and the presence of a motor garage at Kershaw Lane.
Since the early 1990s the National Museums of Scotland’s Archaeology Department has undertaken programmes of radiocarbon dating to shed light on ancient Scottish artefacts and funerary practices.

Thanks to generous outside support, the scope of this activity has expanded to encompass material held outside NMS’s own collections, including some from Wales and England. It has also included cremated bone; in 2005 the NMS Dating Cremated Bones Project (DCBP) was established, using the new technique of AMS dating of structural carbonate (bio-apatite) in cremated bone as developed at the University of Groningen. This technique – which uses a completely different approach from previous, dastrous attempts to date absorbed carbonate or collagen in charred bone – opens new horizons for certain classes of material (such as Bronze Age cinerary urns), and had already been tried and tested by Anna Brindley and Ian Lanting, revolutionising our understanding of Irish Bronze Age pottery. Some 79 cremated bone dates have been obtained for the DCBP, with 11 more in the pipeline. Our colleagues in Scottish archaeological units have also embraced this technique, with CFA Archaeology Ltd’s work on the assemblages from Skilmaffy, Aberdeenshire and Lesnurdie Road, Elgin, Moray, giving us the most comprehensively-dated Early Bronze Age cemeteries in Britain and Ireland. Colleagues in the National Museums & Galleries of Wales have initiated similar dating programmes, and we look forward to English colleagues catching up.

Some highlights...

The themes of NMS dating programmes are varied. In the 1990s, in preparing displays for the new Museum of Scotland, attention focused on objects from Scottish prehistory. Fascinating results emerged, including several ‘firsts’: the oldest evidence for wheeled transport in Britain and Ireland (disc wheel from Blair Drummond: OxA-3538, 2810±85 BP, 1220–800 cal BC at 2σ, calibrated using OxCal v3.9); the earliest bow (from Rotten Bottom: OxA-3540, 5040±100 BP, 4040–3640 cal BC at 2σ); the oldest ox yoke (Loch Neill: OxA-3541, 3430±85 BP, 1940–1520 cal BC at 2σ); and the earliest swingletree (a crossbar to regulate movement during pulling by traction animals) in the world (White Moss: OxA-4507, 3115±60 BP, 1520–1210 cal BC at 2σ). Other highlights include dates for two animal traps – a late third millennium pit-fall trap from Mye Plantation (UB-3882, 3913±39 BP, 2500–2230 cal BC at 2σ) and an Early Historic deer tread-trap from the Moss of Auquharney (OxA-6052, 1440±45 BP, cal AD 530–680).

Dates were also obtained for items of clothing (including the Orkney Hood and a pair of shoes, both first millennium AD); for wooden vessels and bog butter; for items connected with water transport (fogboats, unfinished Viking boat stumps from a suspected boatyard on Egg, a rudder, and boat baikers); various hafts and shafts; a Bronze Age log coffin cover; horizontal mill wheel paddles; a flax scutching; and a Late Bronze Age leaf-shaped sword made of yew from Groenetter in Orkney, inter alia.

The last five years have focused on Bronze Age material, producing significant numbers of dates for Scotland’s various urn types and accessory vessels; for Food Vessels and Beakers (to be fed into Mike Parker Pearson’s ‘Beaker People’ project); for faience (in Scotland, Wales and England); and for daggers, battle axes and bone toggles. Highlights – of which there are many – include the discovery that the henge bank at North Mains (and by extension, the ditch) must have been constructed around or after 2000 BC, significantly later than the timber ring enclosed within, as Gordon Barclay predicted. Further dating work for other researchers, using material in NMS collections, has produced equally fascinating results (such as the Ritchies’ confirmation that the Stones of Stenness henge ditch and bank had been created around 3000 BC, thereby neatly providing a millennium-long bracket for henge construction in Scotland).
Funerary practices – Mesolithic to post-medieval
Recent results include dates for Iron Age inhumations (from Burnmouth, Craigie and Cumledge) and for Early Neolithic cremated bone from the non-megalithic Cairns of Aberth. Samples have also been provided from NMS collections for non-NMS programmes of research, including Rick Schulting’s work on early Neolithic populations, Mike Richards’ work on the Late Mesolithic human remains from Oronsay and James Barrett’s work on the Pictish and pagan Norse cemetery at Westness.

Dissemination and impact
Over the last 13 years, the NMS C14 dating programmes have produced 168 results, mostly from the University of Groningen and the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Dating Service (ORADS).

Together with the major programme of radiocarbon dating archaeological sites that Patrick Ashmore has co-ordinated for Historic Scotland (reported annually in Discovery & Excavation in Scotland), the NMS’ programmes – which are ongoing – have transformed our understanding of Scotland’s archaeology and that of neighbouring countries. As value for money, and an example of partnership, collaboration and synergy, it’s been a spectacular success!

We thank the following for generous support: Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, NERC, Historic Scotland (Patrick Ashmore); University of Groningen (Jan Lanting); Aberdeenshire Archaeology (Ian Shepherd) and Queen’s University Belfast with ORADS (Finbar McCormick). The collaboration of many museum curators and field archaeologists is warmly acknowledged.
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Alison Sheridan
Head of Early Prehistory
National Museums of Scotland

Here again is the annual review of Jobs in British archaeology – based on the ads placed in JIS, plus some from BAJR. There was an identical number compared to last year (127) which suggests that the archaeological economy is at least steady. The high point of 146 jobs in 2001 is still some way off.

Pay
This was encouraging at the bottom end, averaging £13,710 – up from last year’s £12,903. The general upward progress of... in seeking to set up National Pay Bargaining for archaeology. The words of the new IFA chair David Jennings in the TA 53 in making this issue a personal priority were especially welcome as he is in a good position to influence these matters.

There were too few supervisors’ jobs to comment but Field/Project Officers were virtually static at £16,563 (2003 – £16,592). Project Managers advanced to £20,957 (2003 – ... suggesting the quiet last two or three years is having a dampening effect. This may also be the reason both Junior CRM and SMR slipped back to an anaemic £16,941 and £21,397 respectively from last year’s healthier £17,274 and £25,840.

The advances made by the Specialist and Illustrators categories last year went into sharp reverse as they plunged from £17,170 and £14,992 respectively to £15,254 and £15,992 though there were more jobs (27 rather than 18). This may be an area that needs looking at closely to make sure these jobs are graded correctly in the employing organisations.

IFA membership
The number of jobs mentioning IFA membership continued to grow, up to 23%. There is room for improvement, but at least some ads stated ‘PIFA preferred’ for the first time.

IFA recommended minimums
Pete Hinton wrote in TA 54 that this whole issue was being reviewed, and a new system is awaited with interest. A more sophisticated approach is surely to be welcomed. It... this. If membership at MIFA level guaranteed this level of pay in RAOs this would certainly encourage higher membership.

Pete Hinton
 escrewed April 04

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excavator / site assistant</td>
<td>£15,710</td>
<td>26 (26)</td>
<td>£15,932</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
<td>£16,140</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>£16,424</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
<td>£13,174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>£14,765</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>£15,806</td>
<td>9 (9)</td>
<td>£16,741</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>£12,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Officer / Project Officer</td>
<td>£16,563</td>
<td>23 (14)</td>
<td>£16,592</td>
<td>16 (16)</td>
<td>£15,572</td>
<td>18 (18)</td>
<td>£15,777</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>£15,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>£20,957</td>
<td>16 (13)</td>
<td>£21,536</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td>£20,881</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>£19,447</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>£18,673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior CRM / SMR</td>
<td>£16,941</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
<td>£17,274</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td>£17,533</td>
<td>14 (14)</td>
<td>£15,608</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>£14,167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior CRM / SMR</td>
<td>£21,397</td>
<td>9 (15)</td>
<td>£20,881</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>£21,005</td>
<td>9 (9)</td>
<td>£20,846</td>
<td>7 (7)</td>
<td>£20,654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>£13,254</td>
<td>15 (8)</td>
<td>£14,710</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>£14,992</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>£14,632</td>
<td>6 (6)</td>
<td>£13,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illustrator etc</td>
<td>£13,992</td>
<td>12 (10)</td>
<td>£14,085</td>
<td>9 (9)</td>
<td>£14,908</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>£15,497</td>
<td>8 (8)</td>
<td>£13,271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

£
Prehistoric Dorset
John Gale 2003
Tempus 192 pp pb £16.99

Our understanding of prehistory is changing fast throughout Britain, but Dorset can still boast many of the finest monuments and most spectacular excavations of this period. This book by a lecturer at Bournemouth University, who has excavated sites in the county and used its resources extensively in teaching, is a useful roundup of this seminal archaeology, and to an extent brings us up to date with important reports of recent years. Excavations for example include Mount Pleasant, Cranborne Chase, Hambledon Hill, Gussage All Saints, sites in Dorchester such as Greyhounds Yard and Flagstones, and of course Maiden Castle itself. He ends with a handy gazetteer of sites to visit which should inspire many outings.

The limitation of the work is that the less accessible results of developer-funded fieldwork (ie not published as monographs or in journals) and, I imagine, other entries in the sites and monuments record, are essentially ignored. Putting those in context might have changed the picture in interesting ways, and this is evidence that local studies in particular have little excuse to ignore.

Prehistoric Northumberland
Stan Beckensall 2003
Tempus Publishing 192pp pb £16.99

Here is quite a different county to Dorset, and quite a different approach. Northumberland is in the heart of Britain, despite its domination by borders in later periods, and the dramatic scale of its prehistoric archaeology reflects both sides of the modern divide. Stan Beckensall is a local enthusiast who seems to have practically re-invented the archaeology of rock art, and his insights and his access to recent excavations and other fieldwork bring welcome freshness to those sated with lowland settlement cropmarks. The book is written thematically rather than chronologically, including whole chapters on prehistoric rock art and on symbols of power as well as standards of divisions of religion, burial and (sensibly combined in this context) settlement and defence (though it is interesting how rock art creeps into most of these). He ends with suggestions of places to visit. This is not a full overview of prehistory in Northumberland, but is a valuable and enticing addition to its literature.

Submarine prehistoric archaeology of the North Sea: Research priorities and collaboration with industry
CBA Research Report 141
NC Flemming (ed) 2004
CBA 140pp pb £25

These fourteen papers were originally given in 2003, and the editor is congratulated on such quick production. The volume brings together contributors from five countries and is essentially a statement of the current position of research, raising awareness of work around the North Sea outside the British Isles.

Major section headings are: ‘The scope and importance of Continental Shelf prehistory’; ‘Submerged prehistoric archaeological surveys’; ‘Systematics, palaeontology, and proxy data’; ‘Management and supporting data’. Geoff Bailey makes the forceful point that exploitation of coastal resources seen as a characteristic of the Mesolithic may have occurred in the Palaeolithic as well, the Palaeolithic sites now being submerged. He points out the fruitfulness of the coast to early humans as a food resource and how it facilitated ease of movement, suggesting that submerged landscapes may contain crucial evidence for the arrival and survival of people in northern Europe. Nic Flemming outlines the problems of recovering and analysing data from such a hostile environment.

This provides important examples of current work on submerged landscapes, from site location modelling and survey projects to excavation projects of Mesolithic sites. The final section sets out English Heritage’s approach to their maritime responsibilities, the Dutch national heritage agency’s approach to damage to the seabed which will result from the extension of the port of Rotterdam, and a thoughtful essay by Anthony Firth on what we are trying to achieve and the developer/archaeologist relationship.

This is an excellent introduction to current research and perceptions of the archaeological resource of the North Sea. It is well presented with good reproduction of plates and figures, and I recommend it to anybody with an interest in early prehistory or the east and channel coasts of Britain.

Richard Bradley, Robin Daniels, Tim Phillips, Nicola Powell and Alison Taylor

Prehistoric Dorset
Richard Bradley, Robin Daniels, Tim Phillips, Nicola Powell and Alison Taylor

In The Shadow of the Brochs: The Iron Age in Scotland
Beverley Ballin Smith and Iain Banks (eds) 2002
Tempus publishing 256pp pb £25

The wealth of archaeological evidence from Iron Age of Scotland often lies in the ‘shadow’ of the brochs, these brooding, mysterious and enigmatic structures. This aptly-titled volume presents a general overview of state of Iron Age studies in Scotland at the beginning of the twenty-first century, it also marks the retirement of Ewan MacKie.

The Northern Isles and Caithness papers centre on the brochs, but take the material further than individual sites. Stephen Dockrill discusses the economic base of their builders and the structure of their society; Julie Bond looks at faunal and botanical remains from Orkney; and Colleen Batey summarises evidence for Viking and late Norse reuse of broch mounds in Caithness. In the section on the Western Isles a greater range of structures is brought out from the shadows, including wheelhouses and souterrains. These chapters are complemented by a discussion of the Western Isles’ pottery sequence (Ewan Campbell). John Hunter provides a welcome overview of the late Iron Age, emphasising that this was a period of profound social change including Christianisation, also setting

Examples of Neolithic votive offerings from the Danish sea floor
"Ketley Crag rock shelter, with its dramatically decorated surface"}
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Prehistoric Rock art in Northumberland
Stan Beckensall 2001
Tempus Publishing 192pp pb £16.99

Having spent two weeks walking around Northumberland as an undergraduate searching for new rock art and looking at known sites, fortunately often with the author, it was a pleasure to read this overview of rock art in the county. Beckensall sets the scene, explaining the study of rock art using the highly decorated rock at Lendershaw. His clear and precise illustrations show rocks covered in art, including cup and ring marks, pick marks, serpentine grooves and motifs, in their context. It explains where archaeologists are in rock art studies, how art is perceived in the landscape and relates to monuments. It also looks at the research in its wider setting and accepts that the subject often raises more questions that it can answer. The main part of the book is more than a gazetteer, entitled ‘Art in the Landscape’ and along with the chapter devoted to rock art found in a monumental setting, it includes every piece of rock art discovered in Northumberland, with six figure grid references and full description and illustrations. How people have perceived and interpreted rock art is discussed and Beckensall accepts that there will be many more theories, however odd.

Nicola Powell

Archaeology in Northumberland National park
Paul Frodsham 2004
Council for British Archaeology pb 382pp £19.95

The first part of this book looks at the changing landscape of Northumberland National Park over time and the second covers fieldwork by contributors over the last ten years, ranging from the palaeoenvironment and prehistoric periods through to the industrial past and the present day. Early on, we are aware of the importance of the omnipresent and ‘looming’ Yarrowing Bell, a focus up to the present day. Sites such as Turf Knowe, which includes monuments dating to the Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age, are discussed in greater detail in the second part. The rock art of the National Park is famous and Stan Beckensall’s ’Prehistoric Rock Art in Northumberland’ is the perfect companion book. Later, we are introduced to a bastle village and then in greater detail to towers and bastles. But most importantly, the Northumberland National Park as a continually evolving place is emphasised, where the needs and desires of visitors to popular places such as Hadrian’s Wall are managed alongside management of an important and so far little studied archaeological landscape: Frodsham has produced an important and enjoyable review of fieldwork in Northumberland National Park for the archaeologist as well as the general reader.

Nicola Powell

Archaeology in Northumberland National Park
Paul Frodsham

Scatness broch, roundhouse and wheelhouses
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From Sickles to Circles: Britain and Ireland at the time of Stonehenge
Alex Gibson and Alison Sheridan (ed) 2004
Tempus Publishing 384pp pb £30

This impressive tome was prepared as a festschrift for Derek Simpson upon his retirement last year from the Chair of Archaeology at Queen’s University, Belfast, and is now sadly also a memorial to him, as he died this March. It is not a work for a general reader, but a serious compilation of significant research topics and discussions by eminent prehistorians, many of whom worked closely with Derek and have personal memories. This includes a good deal of new information and new interpretations, especially welcome when they are by old masters such as Aubrey Burl, Vincent Megaw and Ian Kinnes who have had time to re-evaluate their own pioneering works.

Tim Phillips

Young Derek Simpson (right, standing), with Piggott and Atkinson, sorting out Stonehenge
The Archaeologist 4746

useful work of reference, but there were times when I wondered if it might have been better to go back to the drawing board and produce a different book altogether. This would not constrain the format of its predecessors; it would place more emphasis on the late second and earlier first millennia (here the Bronze Age ‘background’); it would take on the opportunities raised by the expansion of commercial archaeology; and it would treat Ireland on equal terms with England, Scotland and Wales. Above all, it would engage more fully with the ideas put forward by the proponents of an ‘alternative Iron Age’. That is a radical challenge, but it is one which the author is uniquely qualified to meet. There is no sign that anyone else is prepared to do so.

This latest edition is like a building which has been renovated many times. The basic structure remains but much fabric is new. It is a great achievement to have offered interpretations that have kept their place in the discipline over such a long period, but there is a danger that any further editions will seem like footnotes to an established text. It should be on the shelves of every field archaeologist, but is so expensive it is more likely to be confined to the better funded libraries. It is to greatly to Barry Cunliffe’s credit that he is given us so much to think about, but, as Umberto Eco said in The Name of the Rose, ‘books are not made to be believed, but to be subjected to enquiry’. That is the nature of research, and it is pity that the publishers seem to have miscalculated the audience in their pricing of this important book.

Iron Age communities in Britain
An account of England, Scotland and Wales from the seventh century until the Roman Conquest. Fourth Edition
Barry Cunliffe 2005 Routledge 741 pp hb £140

When I studied law many years ago, I could never understand why authors of most famous textbooks were dead. Their works were entirely rewritten, yet they retained the original title and format. The same is true in medicine. No one who has studied Gray’s Anatomy could have met Dr Gray.

Archaeology is different, for few of its classic texts are ever revised. They may be reprinted long after they have outstayed their welcome, but few are rewritten by their original authors. Gordon Childe was one great exception, and Barry Cunliffe is another. This is the fourth edition of Iron Age Communities in Britain, which first appeared in a much slimmer version 31 years ago. It was the one substantial study to consider the Iron Age of the entire island of Britain and it is largely due to its influence that the subject has expanded to such an extent during the last three decades. Although it deals with the prehistory of England, Scotland and Wales, it should really be read alongside Barry Raftery’s Pagan Celtic Ireland and Dennis Harding’s recent synthesis The Iron Age in Northern Britain.

One of the problems of recasting older research is that it establishes a format from which it is hard to escape, and there is a certain continuity between successive editions of Iron Age Communities. It is light on theory and cautious in its interpretations. It is rich in drawings of artefacts and site plans and is at its most confident when it deals with subjects and regions on which the author himself has worked: the Wessex chalkeald, cross-Channel trade, the archaeology of Atlantic Europe. That is understandable: it is based on exhaustive reading of fully published projects, but, wherever possible, it avoids engaging in controversy. For instance, there is no mention of John Creighton’s account of the Late Iron Age.

Its strengths, as in previous editions, are its excellent illustrations, its lucid style and command of detail, and its enormous bibliography. It is a useful work of reference, but there were times when I wondered if it might have been better to go back to the drawing board and produce a different book altogether. This would not constrain the format of its predecessors; it would place more emphasis on the late second and earlier first millennia (here the Bronze Age ‘background’); it would take on the opportunities raised by the expansion of commercial archaeology; and it would treat Ireland on equal terms with England, Scotland and Wales. Above all, it would engage more fully with the ideas put forward by the proponents of an ‘alternative Iron Age’. That is a radical challenge, but it is one which the author is uniquely qualified to meet. There is no sign that anyone else is prepared to do so.

This latest edition is like a building which has been renovated many times. The basic structure remains but much fabric is new. It is a great achievement to have offered interpretations that have kept their place in the discipline over such a long period, but there is a danger that any further editions will seem like footnotes to an established text. It should be on the shelves of every field archaeologist, but is so expensive it is more likely to be confined to the better funded libraries. It is to greatly to Barry Cunliffe’s credit that he is given us so much to think about, but, as Umberto Eco said in The Name of the Rose, ‘books are not made to be believed, but to be subjected to enquiry’. That is the nature of research, and it is pity that the publishers seem to have miscalculated the audience in their pricing of this important book.

Richard Bradley

The moon and the bonfire
An investigation of three stone circles in north-east Scotland
Richard Bradley 2005 Society of Antiquaries of Scotland hb 124pp £25 (£20 to Fellows of Soc of Ants, Scotland)

This sumptuous hardback volume is ostensibly a report on three excavations and two fieldwalking surveys in Aberdeenshire, but it somehow brings in more than one expects for this genre.

Richard Bradley makes clear for example how these apparently unitary monuments went through prolonged sequences of structural change, making nonsense of simple classifications of style or function (eg burials may be one incident, not a major role). In combination with Tim Phillips’ fieldwalking surveys, which emphasised the isolated positions of the monuments, on open ground or beyond limits of settlement, their topography is discussed in terms of how they would have been perceived (both looking in and looking out), the visual effects that would have come into play (changing views and perspectives, movements of sun and moon, use of contrast between red, grey and white stones as part of dramatic and clearly intentional effects of monument design etc). This includes precise alignments with the moon and the setting sun which imply the sites were intended for use at night, with implications for ways we should understand their siting in the landscape (which has implications for understanding all monuments that came to life when it was dark). He ends with discussion of the elements of these recumbent stone circles that are found on other monuments across Britain and Ireland. Though apparently restricted to a very regional type of site, this report therefore has value for archaeologists attempting to understand prehistoric ritual monuments anywhere in Britain, and perhaps beyond.

Alison Taylor

The largest part of the book concentrates on Scottish archaeology, and much of this is concerned with aspects of megaliths, where new techniques are reviving old topics. This includes Graham Ritchie’s discussion of some dreadful things done to standing stones in the recent past, the importance of understanding past interventions and how we should approach them today. Patrick Ashmore uses Calanais (Lewis) as compelling evidence for frequent long-distance contact networks c. 3000 BC, and Audrey Henshall looks at new data from passage grave and what we should emphatically not be trying to learn from them – reinforced by Anna Ritchie’s assessment of animal bones in chambered cairns, which can derive from a variety of human and natural causes, destroying exciting notions of totemism on the way. Dermot Moore uses the impressive settlement evidence of Neolithic Ireland to look at evidence for conflict – and finds quite a lot of it. Several papers are devoted to aspects of artefact typology that are clearly due for an overhaul, and there is a call from Colin Burgess for more disciplined use of uniform phraseology for describing Bronze Age phases (though he admits that over the last 45 years he has been a culprit too). All in all, this satisfying work is a tribute to the ingenuity and tenacity of archaeologists in dealing with old and new data in old and new ways, as well as to the inspiration of Derek Simpson himself.

Alison Taylor

When I studied law many years ago, I could never understand why authors of most famous textbooks were dead. Their works were entirely rewritten, yet they retained the original title and format. The same is true in medicine. No one who has studied Gray’s Anatomy could have met Dr Gray.

Archaeology is different, for few of its classic texts are ever revised. They may be reprinted long after they have outstayed their welcome, but few are rewritten by their original authors. Gordon Childe was one great exception, and Barry Cunliffe is another. This is the fourth edition of Iron Age Communities in Britain, which first appeared in a much slimmer version 31 years ago. It was the one substantial study to consider the Iron Age of the entire island of Britain and it is largely due to its influence that the subject has expanded to such an extent during the last three decades. Although it deals with the prehistory of England, Scotland and Wales, it should really be read alongside Barry Raftery’s Pagan Celtic Ireland and Dennis Harding’s recent synthesis The Iron Age in Northern Britain.

One of the problems of recasting older research is that it establishes a format from which it is hard to escape, and there is a certain continuity between successive editions of Iron Age Communities. It is light on theory and cautious in its interpretations. It is rich in drawings of artefacts and site plans and is at its most confident when it deals with subjects and regions on which the author himself has worked: the Wessex chalkeald, cross-Channel trade, the archaeology of Atlantic Europe. That is understandable: it is based on exhaustive reading of fully published projects, but, wherever possible, it avoids engaging in controversy. For instance, there is no mention of John Creighton’s account of the Late Iron Age.

Its strengths, as in previous editions, are its excellent illustrations, its lucid style and command of detail, and its enormous bibliography. It is a useful work of reference, but there were times when I wondered if it might have been better to go back to the drawing board and produce a different book altogether. This would not constrain the format of its predecessors; it would place more emphasis on the late second and earlier first millennia (here the Bronze Age ‘background’); it would take on the opportunities raised by the expansion of commercial archaeology; and it would treat Ireland on equal terms with England, Scotland and Wales. Above all, it would engage more fully with the ideas put forward by the proponents of an ‘alternative Iron Age’. That is a radical challenge, but it is one which the author is uniquely qualified to meet. There is no sign that anyone else is prepared to do so.

This latest edition is like a building which has been renovated many times. The basic structure remains but much fabric is new. It is a great achievement to have offered interpretations that have kept their place in the discipline over such a long period, but there is a danger that any further editions will seem like footnotes to an established text. It should be on the shelves of every field archaeologist, but is so expensive it is more likely to be confined to the better funded libraries. It is to greatly to Barry Cunliffe’s credit that he is given us so much to think about, but, as Umberto Eco said in The Name of the Rose, ‘books are not made to be believed, but to be subjected to enquiry’. That is the nature of research, and it is pity that the publishers seem to have miscalculated the audience in their pricing of this important book.

Richard Bradley

The moon and the bonfire
An investigation of three stone circles in north-east Scotland
Richard Bradley 2005 Society of Antiquaries of Scotland hb 124pp £25 (£20 to Fellows of Soc of Ants, Scotland)

This sumptuous hardback volume is ostensibly a report on three excavations and two fieldwalking surveys in Aberdeenshire, but it somehow brings in more than one expects for this genre.

Richard Bradley makes clear for example how these apparently unitary monuments went through prolonged sequences of structural change, making nonsense of simple classifications of style or function (eg burials may be one incident, not a major role). In combination with Tim Phillips’ fieldwalking surveys, which emphasised the isolated positions of the monuments, on open ground or beyond limits of settlement, their topography is discussed in terms of how they would have been perceived (both looking in and looking out), the visual effects that would have come into play (changing views and perspectives, movements of sun and moon, use of contrast between red, grey and white stones as part of dramatic and clearly intentional effects of monument design etc). This includes precise alignments with the moon and the setting sun which imply the sites were intended for use at night, with implications for ways we should understand their siting in the landscape (which has implications for understanding all monuments that came to life when it was dark). He ends with discussion of the elements of these recumbent stone circles that are found on other monuments across Britain and Ireland. Though apparently restricted to a very regional type of site, this report therefore has value for archaeologists attempting to understand prehistoric ritual monuments anywhere in Britain, and perhaps beyond.

Alison Taylor
Phil Carpenter (2652) retired as Prospect's negotiator with responsibility for archaeologists in January, but was immediately hired back to help Prospect work on the new Civil Service pensions scheme. Phil has worked closely with IFA staff recently in developing proposals for Hassett's-wide pay bargaining, and has always emphasised the benefits of union and professional institute working together. He has had many responsibilities in Prospect, but it is obvious that archaeology has left its mark. Reported in Prospect's Bulletin Phil says 'I still think the Prison Service is the worst employer I've had to deal with, though English Heritage is catching up fast.' A member of the Sussex Archaeological Society (RAO 10), Phil intends to

Orkney's archaeology has lost one of its great champions with the death of Daphne Home Lorimer. She was chair of the Orkney Heritage Society 1994 to 2003 and was responsible for the Society's decision to have two international archaeological conferences, Neolithic Orkney in its European Context and Sea Change: Orkney and Northern Europe in the Later Iron Age AD 300-800. She was also the driving force behind establishment of Orkney Archaeological Trust. Daphne intended to

Anne Brundle (MIFA 1028), IFA's JIS Compiler has just passed her PhD viva (on rock art in Val Camonica). She has also just started a new part time job as Living History Co-ordinator for Balsall Heath Local History Society, Birmingham but is still available for freelance artefact work.

Lynne Bevan (MIFA 1677) was chair of the Orkney Heritage Society 1994 to 2003 and was responsible for the Society's decision to have two international archaeological conferences, Neolithic Orkney in its European Context and Sea Change: Orkney and Northern Europe in the Later Iron Age AD 300-800. She was also the driving force behind establishment of Orkney Archaeological Trust. Daphne intended to study English at University but, with the outbreak of war instead became a radiographer, stopping work in 1952 to start a family. She first became seriously involved in archaeology in London with Hendon and District Archaeological Society, and co-edited the final excavation report on a Mesolithic site on Hampstead Heath which appeared in 1989. Retiring with her husband to Orkney in the early 1980s, she wrote many specialist reports on human bones from excavations in Scotland, from Iona and Dundee to Shetland and she did an
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Announcement of the result of a Disciplinary Investigation

Peter Hinton

The IFA's current regulations (see TA 55 for proposed revisions) for investigating allegations of improper conduct have various requirements for reporting. If a Disciplinary Inquiry Panel finds a breach of the Code of conduct and Council imposes a sanction of expulsion or suspension from the Institute, the IFA may publish details of the breaches, sanction and the identity of the member concerned. In the case of a lesser sanction (a ‘written warning of future conduct’), the name of the member may not be published.

A Disciplinary Inquiry Panel conducted a hearing on 10 December 2004 to investigate allegations that a member had breached various clauses of the Code of conduct and Code of approved practice for the regulation of contractual arrangements in field archaeology. The Panel found that a Member of the Institute had not acted in accordance with rule 1.5: ‘An archaeologist shall give appropriate credit for work done by others, and shall not commit plagiarism in oral or written communication, and shall not enter into conduct that might unjustifiably injure the reputation of another archaeologist.’ Council agreed with the Disciplinary Inquiry Panel’s recommendation that the appropriate sanction is a written warning; that warning has been duly issued.

In a quite separate case it was found necessary to remove Archaeological Solutions from the Register of Archaeological Organisations for providing false information in support of their application for Registration.

Obituary

Daphne Lorimer MBE, MIFA 1677

Orkney’s archaeology has lost one of its great champions with the death of Daphne Home Lorimer. She was chair of the Orkney Heritage Society 1994 to 2003 and was responsible for the Society’s decision to have two international archaeological conferences, Neolithic Orkney in its European Context and Sea Change: Orkney and Northern Europe in the Later Iron Age AD 300-800. She was also the driving force behind establishment of Orkney Archaeological Trust.

Daphne intended to study English at University but, with the outbreak of war instead became a radiographer, stopping work in 1952 to start a family. She first became seriously involved in archaeology in London with Hendon and District Archaeological Society, and co-edited the final excavation report on a Mesolithic site on Hampstead Heath which appeared in 1989. Retiring with her husband to Orkney in the early 1980s, she wrote many specialist reports on human bones from excavations in Scotland, from Iona and Dundee to Shetland and she did an

Apology to Norfolk Landscape Archaeology

We would like to apologise to James Albone (1744), David Garney (40), Ken Hamilton (2169) and Andrew Hutchesson (1576) whose address details were incorrectly published in the Yearbook and directory for 2005 and should have been – Norfolk Landscape Archaeology, Union House, Greenenhall, Dereham, Norfolk NR20 4DR

Announcement of the result of a Disciplinary Investigation

Peter Hinton

The IFA’s current regulations (see TA 55 for proposed revisions) for investigating allegations of improper conduct have various requirements for reporting. If a Disciplinary Inquiry Panel finds a breach of the Code of conduct and Council imposes a sanction of expulsion or suspension from the Institute, the IFA may publish details of the breaches, sanction and the identity of the member concerned. In the case of a lesser sanction (a ‘written warning of future conduct’), the name of the member may not be published.

A Disciplinary Inquiry Panel conducted a hearing on 10 December 2004 to investigate allegations that a member had breached various clauses of the Code of conduct and Code of approved practice for the regulation of contractual arrangements in field archaeology. The Panel found that a Member of the Institute had not acted in accordance with rule 1.5: ‘An archaeologist shall give appropriate credit for work done by others, and shall not commit plagiarism in oral or written communication, and shall not enter into conduct that might unjustifiably injure the reputation of another archaeologist.’ Council agreed with the Disciplinary Inquiry Panel’s recommendation that the appropriate sanction is a written warning; that warning has been duly issued.

In a quite separate case it was found necessary to remove Archaeological Solutions from the Register of Archaeological Organisations for providing false information in support of their application for Registration.

Obituary

Daphne Lorimer MBE, MIFA 1677

Orkney’s archaeology has lost one of its great champions with the death of Daphne Home Lorimer. She was chair of the Orkney Heritage Society 1994 to 2003 and was responsible for the Society’s decision to have two international archaeological conferences, Neolithic Orkney in its European Context and Sea Change: Orkney and Northern Europe in the Later Iron Age AD 300-800. She was also the driving force behind establishment of Orkney Archaeological Trust.

Daphne intended to study English at University but, with the outbreak of war instead became a radiographer, stopping work in 1952 to start a family. She first became seriously involved in archaeology in London with Hendon and District Archaeological Society, and co-edited the final excavation report on a Mesolithic site on Hampstead Heath which appeared in 1989. Retiring with her husband to Orkney in the early 1980s, she wrote many specialist reports on human bones from excavations in Scotland, from Iona and Dundee to Shetland and she did an

Marie Brandle

Daphne Lorimer

Members news

Phil Carpenter (2652) retired as Prospect’s negotiator with responsibility for archaeologists in January, but was immediately hired back to help Prospect work on the new Civil Service pensions scheme. Phil has worked closely with IFA staff recently in developing proposals for Hassett’s-wide pay bargaining, and has always emphasised the benefits of union and professional institute working together. He has had many responsibilities in Prospect, but it is obvious that archaeology has left its mark. Reported in Prospect’s Bulletin Phil says ‘I still think the Prison Service is the worst employer I’ve had to deal with, though English Heritage is catching up fast.’ A member of the Sussex Archaeological Society (RAO 10), Phil intends to

Lynne Bevan (MIFA 1028), IFA’s JIS Compiler has just passed her PhD viva (on rock art in Val Camonica). She has also just stared a new part time job as Living History Co-ordinator for Balsall Heath Local History Society, Birmingham but is still available for freelance artefact work.

Awesome job as a volunteer at the Orkney Museum, sorting thousands of human bones from the Neolithic tomb at Isbister in South Ronaldsay. Besides her own archaeological work, Daphne was an enthusiast who supported the efforts of colleagues, be it with information and a listening ear or entire buffet parties for hungry student diggers. In celebration of her work an on-line festschrift was produced last year, Papers and Pictures in honour of Daphne Home Lorimer MBE. This includes an impressive series of papers, many by IFA’s Scottish members, and can be read on http://www.orkneydigs.org.uk/dhl/papers/index.html.
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